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We describe non-trivial $\delta$-derivations of semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2, and of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . For these classes of algebras and superalgebras, non-zero $\delta$-derivations are shown to be missing for $\delta \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$, and we give a complete account of $\frac{1}{2}$-derivations.

## INTRODUCTION

The notion of derivation for an algebra was generalized by many mathematicians along quite different lines. Thus, in [1], the reader can find the definitions of a derivation of a subalgebra into an algebra and of an $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$-derivation of one algebra into another, where $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are some homomorphisms of the algebras. Back in the 1950s, Herstein explored Jordan derivations of prime associative rings of characteristic $p \neq 2$; see [2]. (Recall that a Jordan derivation of an algebra $A$ is a linear mapping $j_{d}: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the equality $j_{d}(x y+y x)=j_{d}(x) y+x j_{d}(y)+j_{d}(y) x+y j_{d}(x)$, for any $x, y \in A$.) He proved that the Jordan derivation of such a ring is properly a standard derivation. Later on, Hopkins in [3] dealt with antiderivations of Lie algebras (for definition of an antiderivation, see [1]). The antiderivation, on the other hand, is a special case of a $\delta$-derivation - that is, a linear mapping $\mu$ of an algebra such that $\mu(x y)=\delta(\mu(x) y+x \mu(y))$, where $\delta$ is some fixed element of the ground field.

Subsequently, Filippov generalized Hopkin's results in [4] by treating prime Lie algebras over an associative commutative ring $\Phi$ with unity and $\frac{1}{2}$. It was proved that every prime Lie $\Phi$-algebra, on which a nondegenerated symmetric invariant bilinear form is defined, has no non-zero $\delta$-derivation if $\delta \neq-1,0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$. In [4], also, $\frac{1}{2}$-derivations were described for an arbitrary prime Lie $\Phi$-algebra $A\left(\frac{1}{6} \in \Phi\right)$ with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form defined on the algebra. It was shown that the linear mapping $\phi: A \rightarrow A$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation iff $\phi \in \Gamma(A)$, where $\Gamma(A)$ is the centroid of $A$. This implies that if $A$ is a central simple Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2,3$ on which a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form is defined, then every $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation $\phi$ has the form $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in \Phi$. At a later time, Filippov described $\delta$-derivations for prime alternative and non-Lie Mal'tsev $\Phi$-algebras with some restrictions on the operator ring $\Phi$. In [5], for instance, it was stated that algebras in these classes have no non-zero $\delta$-derivations if $\delta \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$.

In the present paper, we come up with an account of non-trivial $\delta$-derivations for semisimple finitedimensional Jordan algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2, and for simple finitedimensional Jordan superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . For these classes of

[^0][^1]algebras and superalgebras, non-zero $\delta$-derivations are shown to be missing for $\delta \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$, and we provide in a complete description of $\frac{1}{2}$-derivations.

The paper is divided into four parts. In Sec. 1, relevant definitions are given and known results cited. In Sec. 2, we deal with $\delta$-Derivations of simple and semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan algebras. In Secs. 3 and $4, \delta$-derivations are described for simple finite-dimensional Jordan supercoalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . For some superalgebras, note, the condition on the characteristic may be weakened so as to be distinct from 2. A proof for the main theorem is based on the classification theorem for simple finite-dimensional superalgebras and on the results obtained in Secs. 3 and 4.

## 1. BASIC FACTS AND DEFINITIONS

Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p, p \neq 2$. An algebra $A$ over $F$ is Jordan if it satisfies the following identities:

$$
x y=y x, \quad\left(x^{2} y\right) x=x^{2}(y x) .
$$

Jordan algebras arise naturally from the associative algebras. If in an associative algebra $A$ we replace multiplication $a b$ by symmetrized multiplication $a \circ b=\frac{1}{2}(a b+b a)$ then we will face a Jordan algebra. Denote this algebra by $A^{(+)}$. Below are essential examples of Jordan algebras.
(1) The algebra $J(V, f)$ of bilinear form. Let $f: V \times V \longrightarrow F$ be a symmetric bilinear form on a vector space $V$. On the direct sum $J=F \cdot 1+V$ of vector spaces, we then define multiplication by setting $1 \cdot v=v \cdot 1=v$ and $v_{1} \cdot v_{2}=f\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \cdot 1$; under this multiplication, $J=J(V, f)$ is a Jordan algebra. If the form $f$ is non-degenerate and $\operatorname{dim} V>1$, then the algebra $J(V, f)$ is simple.
(2) The Jordan algebra $H\left(D_{n}, J\right)$. Here, $n \geqslant 3, D$ is a composition algebra, which is associative for $n>3, j: d \rightarrow \bar{d}$ is a canonical involution in $D$, and $J: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a standard involution in $D_{n}$.

THEOREM 1.1 [6]. Every simple finite-dimensional Jordan algebra $A$ over an algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic not 2 is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:
(1) $F \cdot 1$;
(2) $J(V, f)$;
(3) $H\left(D_{n}, J\right)$.

We recall the definition of a superalgebra. Let $\Gamma$ be a Grassmann algebra over $F$, which is generated by elements $1, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots$ and is defined by relations $e_{i}^{2}=0, e_{i} e_{j}=-e_{j} e_{i}$. Products $1, e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \ldots e_{i_{k}}$, $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$, form a basis for $\Gamma$ over $F$. Denote by $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ the subspaces generated by products of even and odd lengths, respectively. Then $\Gamma$ is represented as a direct sum of these subspaces, $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}+\Gamma_{1}$, with $\Gamma_{i} \Gamma_{j} \subseteq \Gamma_{i+j(\bmod 2)}, i, j=0,1$. In other words, $\Gamma$ is a $Z_{2}$-graded algebra (or superalgebra) over $F$.

Now let $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$ be any supersubalgebra over $F$. Consider a tensor product of $F$-algebras, $\Gamma \otimes A$. Its subalgebra

$$
\Gamma(A)=\Gamma_{0} \otimes A_{0}+\Gamma_{1} \otimes A_{1}
$$

is called a Grassmann envelope for $A$.
Let $\Omega$ be some variety of algebras over $F$. A $Z_{2}$-graded algebra $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$ is a $\Omega$-superalgebra if its Grassmann envelope $\Gamma(A)$ is an algebra in $\Omega$. In particular, $A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1}$ is a Jordan superalgebra if its Grassmann envelope $\Gamma(A)$ is a Jordan algebra.

In [7], it was shown that every simple finite-dimensional associative superalgebra over an algebraically closed field $F$ is isomorphic either to $A=M_{m, n}(F)$, which is the matrix algebra $M_{m+n}(F)$, or to $B=Q(n)$,
which is a subalgebra of $M_{2 n}(F)$. Gradings of superalgebras $A$ and $B$ are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A \in M_{m}(F), D \in M_{n}(F)\right\} \\
& A_{1}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
C & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, B \in M_{m, n}(F), C \in M_{n, m}(F)\right\}, \\
& B_{0}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, A \in M_{n}(F)\right\}, B_{1}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, B \in M_{n}(F)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A=A_{0}+A_{1}$ be an associative superalgebra. The vector space of $A$ can be endowed with the structure of a Jordan supersubalgebra $A^{(+)}$, by defining new multiplication as follows: $a \circ b=\frac{1}{2}\left(a b+(-1)^{p(a) p(b)} b a\right)$. In this case $p(a)=i$ if $a \in A_{i}$.

Using the above construction, we arrive at superalgebras

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{m, n}(F)^{(+)}, m \geqslant 1, n \geqslant 1 \\
Q(n)^{(+)}, n \geqslant 2
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we define the superinvolution $j: A \rightarrow A$. A graded endomorphism $j: A \rightarrow A$ is called a superinvolution if $j(j(a))=a$ and $j(a b)=(-1)^{p(a) p(b)} j(b) j(a)$. Let $H(A, j)=\{a \in A: j(a)=a\}$. Then $H(A, j)=H\left(A_{0}, j\right)+H\left(A_{1}, j\right)$ is a subsuperalgebra of $A^{(+)}$. Below are superalgebras which are obtained from $M_{n, m}(F)$ via a suitable superinvolution:
(1) the Jordan superalgebra $\operatorname{osp}(n, m)$, consisting of matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$, where $A^{T}=A \in$ $M_{n}(F), C=Q^{-1} B^{T}, D=Q^{-1} D^{T} Q \in M_{2 m}(F)$, and $Q=\left(\begin{array}{cr}0 & E_{m} \\ -E_{m} & 0\end{array}\right) ;$
(2) the Jordan superalgebra $P(n)$, consisting of matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$, where $B^{T}=-B$, $C^{T}=C$, and $D=A^{T}$, with $A, B, C, D \in M_{n}(F)$.

THEOREM $1.2[8,9]$. Every simple finite-dimensional non-trivial (i.e., with a non-zero odd part) Jordan superalgebra $A$ over an algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic 0 is isomorphic to one of the following superalgebras:

$$
M_{m, n}(F)^{(+)} ; Q(n)^{(+)} ; \operatorname{osp}(n, m) ; P(n) ; J(V, f) ; D_{t}, t \neq 0 ; K_{3} ; K_{10} ; J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right), n>1
$$

The superalgebras $J(V, f), D_{t}, K_{3}, K_{10}$, and $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ will be defined below.
Let $\delta \in F$. A linear mapping $\phi$ of $A$ is called a $\delta$-derivation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x y)=\delta(x \phi(y)+\phi(x) y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary elements $x, y \in A$.
The definition of a 1-derivation coincides with the conventional definition of a derivation. A 0-derivation is any endomorphism $\phi$ of $A$ such that $\phi\left(A^{2}\right)=0$. A non-trivial $\delta$-derivation is a $\delta$-derivation which is not a 1-derivation, nor a 0-derivation. Obviously, for any algebra, the multiplication operator by an element of the ground field $F$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. We are interested in the behavior of non-trivial $\delta$-derivations of semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2, and of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 .

## 2. $\delta$-DERIVATIONS FOR SEMISIMPLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL JORDAN ALGEBRAS

In this section, we look at how non-trivial $\delta$-derivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan algebras behave over an algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic distinct from 2. As a consequence, we furnish a description of $\delta$-derivations for semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 .

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of a superalgebra $A$ with unity $e$ over a field $F$ of characteristic not 2. Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\phi(e)=\phi(e \cdot e)=\delta(\phi(e)+\phi(e))=2 \delta \phi(e)$, that is, $\phi(e)=0$. Thus $\phi(x)=\phi(x \cdot e)=\delta(\phi(x)+x \phi(e))=\delta \phi(x)$ for arbitrary $x \in A$. Contradiction. The theorem is proved.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of a Jordan algebra $A$ isomorphic to the ground field. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$.

Proof. Let $e$ be unity in $A$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=2 \phi(x e)-\phi(x)=x \phi(e) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$. The lemma is proved.
LEMMA 2.3. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of an algebra $J(V, f)$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let $\phi(e)=\alpha e+v$, where $\alpha \in F$ and $v \in V$. From (2), it follows that $\phi(x)=x \phi(e)$ for any $x \in J(V, f)$.

For $w \in V$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha f(w, w) e+f(w, w) v & =w^{2}(\alpha e+v)=\phi\left(w^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(w \phi(w)+\phi(w) w) \\
& =w \phi(w)=w(w(\alpha e+v))=w(\alpha w+f(v, w) e) \\
& =\alpha f(w, w) e+f(w, v) w .
\end{aligned}
$$

As the result, $f(w, w) v=f(w, v) w$. Now, since $w$ is arbitrary and $\operatorname{dim}(V)>1$, we have $v=0$. Thus $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for any $x \in J(V, f)$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of an algebra $H\left(D_{n}, J\right), n \geqslant 3$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for $\alpha \in F$.

Proof. Relevant information on composition algebras can be found in [6]. Let $\phi(e)=\alpha e+v$, where $v=\sum_{i, j=1} x_{i, j} e_{i, j}, x_{1,1}=0, x_{i, j}=\overline{x_{j, i}}, \alpha \in F, x_{i, j} \in D$.

From (2), for $x \in H\left(D_{n}, J\right)$ arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} \circ(\alpha e+v)=\phi\left(x^{2}\right)=x \circ \phi(x)=x \circ(x \circ(\alpha e+v)), x^{2} \circ v=x \circ(x \circ v) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $x=e_{k, k}$ we obtain $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{k, j} e_{k, j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, k} e_{i, k}=2 e_{k, k}^{2} \circ v=2 e_{k, k} \circ\left(e_{k, k} \circ v\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{k, j} e_{k, j}+\right.$ $\left.x_{k, k} e_{k, k}+x_{k, k} e_{k, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, k} e_{i, k}\right)$, whence $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, i} e_{i, i}$.

For $x=e_{n, k}+e_{k, n}$ substituted in (3), we have $x_{n, n} e_{n, n}+x_{k, k} e_{k, k}=\left(e_{n, k}+e_{k, n}\right)^{2} \circ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, i} e_{i, i}=$ $\left(e_{n, k}+e_{k, n}\right) \circ\left(\left(e_{n, k}+e_{k, n}\right) \circ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i, i} e_{i, i}\right)=\left(e_{n, k}+e_{k, n}\right) \circ \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n, n} e_{k, n}+x_{k, k} e_{k, n}+x_{k, k} e_{n, k}+x_{n, n} e_{n, k}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{k, k} e_{k, k}+x_{k, k} e_{n, n}+x_{n, n} e_{k, k}+x_{n, n} e_{n, n}\right)$, which yields $x_{n, n}=x_{n-1, n-1}=\ldots=x_{1,1}=0$ and $v=0$.

Consequently, $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for any $x \in H\left(D_{n}, J\right)$. The lemma is proved.
THEOREM 2.5. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of a simple finite-dimensional Jordan algebra $A$ over an algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic distinct from 2 . Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$.

The proof follows from Theorems 1.1, 2.1 and Lemmas 2.2-2.4.
THEOREM 2.6. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of a semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan algebra $A=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$, where $A_{i}$ are simple algebras, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 . Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$, and for $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ where $x_{i} \in A_{i}$, we have $\phi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}, \alpha_{i} \in F$.

Proof. Unity in $A_{k}$ is denoted by $e_{k}$. If $x_{i} \in A_{i}$, then $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{+}+x_{i}^{-}$, where $x_{i}^{+} \in A_{i}$ and $x_{i}^{-} \notin A_{i}$. Put $e^{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{k}-e_{i}$ and $\phi\left(e^{i}\right)=e^{i+}+e^{i-}$, where $e^{i+} \in A_{i}$ and $e^{i-} \notin A_{i}$. Then $0=\phi\left(x_{i} \cdot e^{i}\right)=$ $\delta\left(\phi\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot e^{i}+x_{i} \cdot \phi\left(e^{i}\right)\right)=\delta\left(\left(x_{i}^{+}+x_{i}^{-}\right) e^{i}+x_{i}\left(e^{i+}+e^{i-}\right)\right)=\delta\left(x_{i}^{-}+x_{i} \cdot e^{i+}\right)$, which yields $x_{i}^{-}=0$. Consequently, the mapping $\phi$ is invariant on $A_{i}$. In virtue of Theorem 2.5, $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i} x_{i}$ for some $\alpha_{i} \in F$ defined for $A_{i}$ with $x_{i} \in A_{i}$ arbitrary. It is easy to verify that the mapping $\phi$, given by the rule $\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}, x_{i} \in A_{i}$, is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. The theorem is proved.

## 3. $\delta$-DERIVATIONS FOR SIMPLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL JORDAN SUPERALGEBRAS WITH UNITY

In this section, all superalgebras but $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ are treated over a field of characteristic not 2. The superalgebra $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ is treated over a field of characteristic 0 . Among the title superalgebras are $M_{m, n}(F)^{(+)}$, $Q(n)^{(+)} \operatorname{osp}(n, m), P(n), J(V, f)$, and $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$. Theorem 2.1 implies that these superalgebras all lack in non-trivial $\delta$-derivations, for $\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, we need only consider the case of a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $M_{m, n}(F)^{(+)}$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. It is easy to see that, for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n+m$, elements $e_{i, j}$ form a basis for the superalgebra $M_{m, n}(F)^{(+)}$. Let $\phi\left(e_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{m+n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}$, where $\alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} \in F, i, j=1, \ldots, n+m$.

If in (1) we put $x=y=e_{i, i}$ we arrive at

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{m+n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, i} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(e_{i, i}\right)=\phi\left(e_{i, i}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{i, i} \circ \phi\left(e_{i, i}\right)+\phi\left(e_{i, i}\right) \circ e_{i, i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n+m} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, i} e_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, i} e_{k, i}\right),
$$

whence $\phi\left(e_{i, i}\right)=\alpha_{i} e_{i, i}$, where $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i, i}^{i, i}, i=1, \ldots, m+n$.
Substituting $x=e_{i, j}$ and $y=e_{i, i}, i \neq j$, in (1), we obtain

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{m+n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(e_{i, j}\right)=2 \phi\left(e_{i, j} \circ e_{i, i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{i} e_{i, j}+\sum_{l=1}^{m+n} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, j} e_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, j} e_{k, i}\right) .
$$

Analyzing the resulting equalities, we conclude that $\alpha_{i, j}^{i, j}=\alpha_{i}$. A similar argument for $e_{i, j}$ and $e_{j, j}$ yields $\alpha_{i, j}^{i, j}=\alpha_{j}$. Since $\phi$ is linear, $\phi(e)=\alpha e$. Using (2) gives $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, for any $x \in M_{n, m}(F)^{(+)}$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $Q(n)^{(+)}$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Clearly, $\Delta_{i, j}=e_{i, j}+e_{n+i, n+j}$ and $\Delta^{i, j}=e_{n+i, j}+e_{i, n+j}$ form a basis for the superalgebra $Q(n)^{(+)}$.

On the basis elements, the following relations hold:

$$
\Delta_{i, j} \circ \Delta_{k, l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{j, k} \Delta_{i, l}+\delta_{l, i} \Delta_{k, j}\right), \quad \Delta_{i, j} \circ \Delta^{k, l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{j, k} \Delta^{i, l}+\delta_{l, i} \Delta^{k, j}\right) .
$$

Let $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} \Delta_{k, l}+\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, l}^{* i, j} \Delta^{k, l}$. Put $x=y=\Delta_{i, i}$ in (1). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, i} \Delta_{k, l}+\sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, l}^{* i, i} \Delta^{k, l}=\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{i, i} \circ \phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)+\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right) \circ \Delta_{i, i}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, i} \Delta_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, i} \Delta_{k, i}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, i}^{* i, i} \Delta^{k, i}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i, l}^{* i, i} \Delta^{i, l}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\alpha_{i} \Delta_{i, i}+\alpha^{i} \Delta^{i, i}$, where $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i, i}^{i, i}$ and $\alpha^{i}=\alpha_{i, i}^{* i, i}$.
If we substitute $x=\Delta_{i, i}$ and $y=\Delta_{i, j}, i \neq j$, in (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k, l=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} \Delta_{k, l}+\alpha_{k, l}^{* i, j} \Delta^{k, l}\right)=\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=2 \phi\left(\Delta_{i, i} \circ \Delta_{i, j}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{i} \Delta_{i, j}+\alpha^{i} \Delta^{i, j}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, j} \Delta_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, j} \Delta_{k, i}+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \alpha_{i, l}^{* i, j} \Delta^{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k, i}^{* i, j} \Delta^{k, i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\alpha_{i, j}^{i, j}=\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i, j}^{* i, j}=\alpha^{i}$.
A similar argument for $\Delta_{j, j}$ and $\Delta_{i, j}$ yields

$$
\phi\left(\Delta_{i, j}\right)=\alpha_{j, j}^{i, j} \Delta_{j, j}+\alpha_{j} \Delta_{i, j}+\alpha_{j, j}^{* i, j} \Delta^{j, j}+\alpha^{j} \Delta^{i, j}
$$

These relations readily imply that $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}=\alpha$ and $\alpha^{i}=\alpha^{j}=\beta$, that is, $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\alpha \Delta_{i, i}+\beta \Delta^{i, i}$.
Clearly, $\phi(E)=\alpha E+\beta \Delta$, where $E$ is unity in $Q(n)^{(+)}$, and $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{i, n+i}+e_{n+i, i}\right)$. Suppose that $\beta \neq 0$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x+\beta \Delta \circ x$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. A mapping $\psi: Q(n)^{(+)} \rightarrow Q(n)^{(+)}$, for which $\psi(x)=\Delta \circ x$, likewise is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. Obviously, $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^{i, i}-\Delta^{j, j}\right)=\psi\left(\Delta^{i, j} \circ \Delta^{j, i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\Delta^{i, j} \circ \Delta\right) \circ \Delta^{j, i}+\Delta^{i, j} \circ\left(\Delta^{j, i} \circ \Delta\right)\right)=0$. On the other hand, $\Delta^{i, i}-\Delta^{j, j} \neq 0$. Consequently, $\beta=0$, that is, $\phi(x)=\alpha x$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $\operatorname{osp}(n, m)$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. It is easy to see that $E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta^{j}$, where $\Delta^{j}=e_{n+j, n+j}+e_{n+m+j, n+m+j}$ and $\Delta_{i}=e_{i, i}$ is unity in the supersubalgebra $\operatorname{osp}(n, m)$. Let

$$
\phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{n+2 m} \alpha_{k, l}^{i} e_{k, l}, i=1, \ldots, n, \quad \phi\left(\Delta^{j}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{k, l}^{j} e_{k, l}, j=1, \ldots, m .
$$

If we put $x=y=\Delta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, in (1) we obtain $\sum_{k, l=1}^{n+2 m} \alpha_{k, l}^{i} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\Delta_{i}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \circ \Delta_{i}+\right.$ $\left.\Delta_{i} \circ \phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+2 m} \alpha_{k, i}^{i} e_{k, i}+\sum_{l=1}^{n+2 m} \alpha_{i, l}^{i} e_{i, l}\right)$, which yields $\phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i} \Delta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$.

Put $x=y=\Delta^{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, in (1). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k, l=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{k, l}^{i} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(\Delta^{i}\right)=\phi\left(\left(\Delta^{i}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^{i} \circ \phi\left(\Delta^{i}\right)+\phi\left(\Delta^{i}\right) \circ \Delta^{i}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{k, n+i}^{i} e_{k, n+i}+\sum_{k=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{k, n+m+i}^{i} e_{k, n+m+i}+\sum_{l=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{n+i, l}^{i} e_{n+i, l}+\sum_{l=1}^{n+2 m} \beta_{n+m+i, l}^{i} e_{n+m+i, l}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By the definition of $\operatorname{osp}(n, m)$, we have $\beta_{n+i, n+m+i}^{i}=\beta_{m+n+i, n+i}^{i}=0$ and $\beta_{n+i, n+i}^{i}=\beta_{n+m+i, n+m+i}^{i}$. Thus $\phi\left(\Delta^{j}\right)=\beta_{j} \Delta^{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$.

Let $\left(e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}\right) \in \operatorname{osp}(n, m), i, j=1, \ldots, n$, and $\phi\left(e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \gamma_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}$. If we put $x=e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}$ and $y=\Delta_{i}$ in (1) we arrive at

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \gamma_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}\right)=2 \phi\left(\left(e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}\right) \circ \Delta_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 m+n} \gamma_{k, i}^{i, j} e_{k, i}+\sum_{l=1}^{2 m+n} \gamma_{i, l}^{i, j} e_{i, l}+\alpha_{i}\left(e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}\right)\right) .
$$

In view of the last relation, $\gamma_{j, i}^{i, j}=\gamma_{i, j}^{i, j}=\alpha_{i}$. Similar calculations for $e_{i, j}+e_{j, i}$ and $\Delta_{j}$ give $\gamma_{j, i}^{i, j}=\gamma_{i, j}^{i, j}=$ $\alpha_{j}$. Ultimately, $\phi\left(\Delta_{i}\right)=\alpha \Delta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$.

Let $E_{i j}=\left(e_{n+i, n+j}+e_{n+m+j, n+m+i}\right) \in \operatorname{osp}(n, m), i, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}$. Put $x=E_{i j}$ and $y=\Delta^{i}$ in (1); then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(E_{i j}\right)=2 \phi\left(E_{i j} \circ \Delta^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{n+i, l}^{i, j} e_{n+i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{k, n+i}^{i, j} e_{k, n+i}+\right. \\
\left.\sum_{l=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{n+m+i, l}^{i, j} e_{n+m+i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 m+n} \omega_{k, n+m+i}^{i, j} e_{k, n+m+i}+\beta_{i} E_{i j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently, $\omega_{n+i, n+j}^{i, j}=\omega_{n+m+j, n+m+i}^{i, j}=\beta_{i}$.
A similar argument for $E_{i j}$ and $\Delta^{j}$ shows that $\omega_{n+i, n+j}^{i, j}=\omega_{n+m+j, n+m+i}^{i, j}=\beta_{j}$ with $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$. Eventually we conclude that $\phi\left(\Delta^{j}\right)=\beta \Delta^{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$.

Let $E^{11}=e_{1, n+m+1}-e_{n+1,1} \in \operatorname{osp}(n, m)$ and $\phi\left(E^{11}\right)=\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \nu_{k, l} e_{k, l}$. If we put $x=E^{11}$ and $y=\Delta^{1}$ in (1) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \nu_{k, l} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(E^{11}\right)=2 \phi\left(E^{11} \circ \Delta^{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 m+n}\left(\nu_{k, n+1} e_{k, n+1}+\nu_{k, n+m+1} e_{k, n+m+1}\right)+\right. \\
\left.\sum_{l=1}^{2 m+n}\left(\nu_{n+1, l} e_{n+1, l}+\nu_{n+m+1, l} e_{n+m+1, l}\right)+\alpha E^{11}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

whence $\nu_{1, m+n+1}=\nu_{n+1,1}=\alpha$. Further, for $x=E^{11}$ and $y=\Delta_{1}$ substituted in (1), we obtain

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 m+n} \nu_{k, l} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(E^{11}\right)=2 \phi\left(\left(E^{11}\right) \circ \Delta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2 m+n} \nu_{1, l} e_{1, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 m+n} \nu_{k, 1} e_{k, 1}+\beta E^{11}\right)
$$

and $\nu_{1, m+n+1}=\nu_{n+1,1}=\beta$. Thus $\alpha=\beta$ and $\phi(E)=\alpha E$. From (2), it follows that $\phi(y)=\alpha y$ for any element $y \in \operatorname{osp}(n, m)$. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\phi$ be a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $P(n)$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let $\Delta_{i, j}=e_{i, j}+e_{n+j, n+i}, E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i, i}$ be unity in the superalgebra $P(n)$, and $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, j}\right)=$ $\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}$. If in (1) we put $x=y=\Delta_{i, i}$ we arrive at

$$
\sum_{k, l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, i} e_{k, l}=\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{n+i, l}^{i, i} e_{n+i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, n+i}^{i, i} e_{k, n+i}+\sum_{l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, i} e_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, i} e_{k, i}\right) .
$$

The definition of $P(n)$ implies $\alpha_{i, n+i}^{i, i}=0$. Therefore, $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\alpha_{i, i}^{i, i} e_{i, i}+\alpha_{n+i, n+i}^{i, i} e_{n+i, n+i}+\alpha_{n+i, i}^{i, i} e_{n+i, i}$.

Put $x=\Delta_{i, i}$ and $y=\Delta_{i, j}$ in (1). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k, l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, l}^{i, j} e_{k, l}= \phi\left(\Delta_{i, j}\right)=2 \phi\left(\Delta_{i, i} \circ \Delta_{i, j}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{i, i}^{i, i} e_{i, j}+\alpha_{n+i, n+i}^{i, i} e_{n+j, n+i}+\alpha_{n+i, i}^{i, i} e_{n+j, i}+\alpha_{n+i, i}^{i, i} e_{n+i, j}\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{i, l}^{i, j} e_{i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, i}^{i, j} e_{k, i}+\sum_{l=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{n+i, l}^{i, j} e_{n+i, l}+\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \alpha_{k, n+i}^{i, j} e_{k, n+i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\alpha_{i, i}^{i, i}=\alpha_{i, j}^{i, j}, \alpha_{n+i, n+i}^{i, i}=\alpha_{n+j, n+i}^{i, i}$, and $\alpha_{n+i, i}^{i, i}=\alpha_{n+j, i}^{i, j}$.
Arguing similarly for $\Delta_{j, j}$ and $\Delta_{i, j}$, we obtain $\alpha_{j, j}^{j, j}=\alpha_{i, j}^{i, j}, \alpha_{n+j, n+j}^{j, j}=\alpha_{n+j, n+i}^{i, i}$, and $\alpha_{n+j, j}^{j, j}=\alpha_{n+j, i}^{i, j}$. In view of the definition of $P(n)$ and the relations above, we have $\phi\left(\Delta_{i, i}\right)=\alpha \Delta_{i, i}+\beta e_{n+i, i}$. The fact that the mapping $\phi$ is linear implies $\phi(E)=\alpha E+\beta \Delta, \Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{n+i, i}\right)$.

Suppose that $\beta \neq 0$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x+\beta \Delta \circ x$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. Then a mapping $\psi: P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$, where $\psi(x)=\Delta \circ x$, likewise is a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. We argue to show that this is not so. Let $b_{j, i}=e_{j, n+i}-e_{i, n+j}$. Then $\psi\left(\Delta_{i, j} \circ b_{j, i}\right)=\psi(0)=0$; but $\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi\left(\Delta_{i, j}\right) \circ b_{j, i}+\Delta_{i, j} \circ \psi\left(b_{j, i}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\Delta_{i, j} \circ \Delta\right) \circ b_{j, i}+\Delta_{i, j} \circ\left(b_{j, i} \circ \Delta\right)\right)=$ $\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(e_{n+j, i}+e_{n+i, j}\right) \circ\left(e_{j, n+i}-e_{i, n+j}\right)+\left(e_{j, i}-e_{i, j}-e_{n+j, n+i}+e_{n+i, n+j}\right) \circ\left(e_{i, j}+e_{n+j, n+i}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{8} \Delta_{i, i} \neq 0$ on the other hand. Hence $\psi$ is not a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation. Therefore, $\beta=0$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x$. The lemma is proved.

We define the Jordan superalgebra $J(V, f)$. Let $V=V_{0}+V_{1}$ be a $Z_{2}$-graded vector space on which a non-degenerate superform $f(.,):. V \times V \rightarrow F$ is defined so that it is symmetric on $V_{0}$ and is skew-symmetric on $V_{1}$. Also $f\left(V_{1}, V_{0}\right)=f\left(V_{0}, V_{1}\right)=0$. Consider a direct sum of vector spaces, $J=F \oplus V$. Let $e$ be unity in the field $F$. Define, then, multiplication by the formula $(\alpha+v)(\beta+w)=(\alpha \beta+f(v, w)) e+(\alpha w+\beta v)$. The given superalgebra has grading $J_{0}=F+V_{0}, J_{1}=V_{1}$. It is easy to see that $e$ is unity in $J(V, f)$.

LEMMA 3.5. Let $\phi$ be a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $J(V, f)$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let $\phi(e)=\alpha e+v_{0}+v_{1}, v_{i} \in V_{i}$. Putting $x=z_{i}, y=e$, and $z_{i} \in V_{i}$ in (1), we obtain $\phi\left(z_{i}\right)=2 \phi\left(z_{i} e\right)-\phi\left(z_{i}\right)=\phi\left(z_{i}\right) e+z_{i} \phi(e)-\phi\left(z_{i}\right)=\alpha z_{i}+f\left(z_{i}, v_{i}\right) e$, whence $\phi\left(z_{i}\right)=\alpha z_{i}+f\left(z_{i}, v_{i}\right) e$.

If we put $x=z_{0}$ and $y=z_{1}$ in (1) we arrive at $0=\phi\left(z_{1} z_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(z_{1}\right) z_{0}+z_{1} \phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=f\left(z_{1}, v_{1}\right) z_{0}+$ $f\left(z_{0}, v_{0}\right) z_{1}$. By the definition of a superform $f$, we have $v_{0}=0$ and $v_{1}=0$, that is, $\phi(e)=\alpha e$. Using (2) yields $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$, for any $x \in J(V, f)$. The lemma is proved.

Consider the Grassmann algebra $\Gamma$ with (odd) anticommutative generators $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots$ In order to define new multiplication, we use the operation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial e_{j}}\left(e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \ldots e_{i_{n}}\right)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{k-1} e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \ldots e_{i_{k-1}} e_{i_{k+1}} \ldots e_{i_{n}} & \text { if } j=i_{k}, \\ 0 & \text { if } j \neq i_{l}, l=1, \ldots, n\end{cases}
$$

For $f, g \in \Gamma_{0} \bigcup \Gamma_{1}$, Grassmann multiplication is defined thus:

$$
\{f, g\}=(-1)^{p(f)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_{j}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial e_{j}} .
$$

Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ be an isomorphic copy of $\Gamma$ under the isomorphic mapping $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Consider a direct sum of vector spaces, $J(\Gamma)=\Gamma+\bar{\Gamma}$, and endow it with the structure of a Jordan superalgebra, setting $A_{0}=\Gamma_{0}+\overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ and $A_{1}=\Gamma_{1}+\overline{\Gamma_{0}}$, with multiplication $\bullet$. We obtain

$$
a \bullet b=a b, \bar{a} \bullet b=(-1)^{p(b)} \overline{a b}, a \bullet \bar{b}=\overline{a b}, \bar{a} \bullet \bar{b}=(-1)^{p(b)}\{a, b\}
$$

where $a, b \in \Gamma_{0} \bigcup \Gamma_{1}$ and $a b$ is the product in $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{n}$ be a subalgebra of $\Gamma$ generated by elements $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$. By $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ we denote the subsuperalgebra $\Gamma_{n}+\overline{\Gamma_{n}}$ of $J(\Gamma)$. If $n \geqslant 2$ then $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ is a simple Jordan superalgebra.

LEMMA 3.6. Let $\phi$ be a $\frac{1}{2}$-derivation of $J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$. Then $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let $\phi(1)=\alpha \gamma+\beta \bar{\nu}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in F, \gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\bar{\nu} \in \bar{\Gamma}$. Put $y=1$ in (1); then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=2 \phi(x \bullet 1)-\phi(x)=\phi(x)+x \bullet \phi(1)-\phi(x)=x \bullet \phi(1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If in (1) we put $x=\overline{e_{i}}, y=\overline{e_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n$, with (4) in mind, we arrive at

$$
\phi(1)=\phi\left(\overline{e_{i}} \bullet \overline{e_{i}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(\overline{e_{i}}\right) \bullet \overline{e_{i}}+\overline{e_{i}} \bullet \phi\left(\overline{e_{i}}\right)\right)=\phi\left(\overline{e_{i}}\right) \bullet \overline{e_{i}}=\overline{e_{i}} \bullet\left(\overline{e_{i}} \bullet \phi(1)\right) .
$$

For any $x$ of the form $e_{i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \ldots e_{i_{k}}$, obviously, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{e_{i}} \bullet\left(\overline{e_{i}} \bullet x\right)= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } \frac{\partial x}{\partial e_{i}}=0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}  \tag{5}\\
& \overline{e_{i}} \bullet\left(\overline{e_{i}} \bullet \bar{x}\right)= \begin{cases}\bar{x} & \text { if } \frac{\partial x}{\partial e_{i}} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\gamma=\gamma^{i+}+e_{i} \gamma^{i-}$ and $\bar{\nu}=\overline{\nu^{i+}}+e_{i} \overline{\nu^{i-}}$, where $\gamma^{i-}, \gamma^{i+}, \nu^{i-}, \nu^{i+}$ do not contain $e_{i}$. Since $i$ is arbitrary, in view of (5) and (6), we have $\gamma=1$ and $\nu=e_{1} \ldots e_{n}$. Thus $\phi(1)=\alpha \cdot 1+\beta \overline{e_{1} \ldots e_{n}}$. Relation (4) entails

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{1} \bullet \phi(1)=e_{1} \bullet\left(\alpha \cdot 1+\beta \overline{e_{1} \ldots e_{n}}\right)=\alpha e_{1}, \\
& \phi\left(\overline{e_{1}}\right)=\overline{e_{1}} \bullet \phi(1)=\overline{e_{1}} \bullet\left(\alpha \cdot 1+\beta \overline{e_{1} \ldots e_{n}}\right)=\alpha \overline{e_{1}}+\beta e_{2} \ldots e_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relations above, combined with the condition in (1), imply $0=\phi\left(e_{1} \bullet \overline{e_{1}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} \bullet \phi\left(\overline{e_{1}}\right)+\phi\left(e_{1}\right) \bullet \overline{e_{1}}\right)=$ $\frac{\beta}{2} e_{1} \ldots e_{n}$; that is, $\phi(1)=\alpha \cdot 1$. From (2), we conclude that $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for any element $x \in J\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$. The lemma is proved.

## 4. $\delta$-DERIVATIONS FOR JORDAN SUPERALGEBRAS

$$
K_{3}, D_{t}, K_{10}
$$

In this section, we confine ourselves to non-trivial $\delta$-derivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras $K_{3}, K_{10}$, and $D_{t}$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$ not equal to 2 . For the superalgebra $K_{10}$, we require in addition that $p \neq 3$. In conclusion, we formulate a theorem on $\delta$-derivations for simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 .

The three-dimensional Kaplansky superalgebra $K_{3}$ is defined thus:

$$
\left(K_{3}\right)_{0}=F e,\left(K_{3}\right)_{1}=F z+F w,
$$

where $e^{2}=e, e z=\frac{1}{2} z, e w=\frac{1}{2} w$, and $[z, w]=e$.
LEMMA 4.1. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of $K_{3}$. Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let $\phi(e)=\alpha_{e} e+\beta_{e} z+\gamma_{e} w, \phi(z)=\alpha_{1} e+\beta_{1} z+\gamma_{1} w$, and $\phi(w)=\alpha_{2} e+\beta_{2} z+\gamma_{2} w$, where $\alpha_{e}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{e}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{e}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in F$. If we put $x=y=e$ in (1) we obtain

$$
\alpha_{e} e+\beta_{e} z+\gamma_{e} w=\phi(e)=\phi\left(e^{2}\right)=\delta(e \phi(e)+\phi(e) e)=\delta\left(2 \alpha_{e} e+\beta_{e} z+\gamma_{e} w\right) .
$$

Thus it suffices to consider the following two cases:
(1) $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$;
(2) $\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}, \phi(e)=0$.

In the former case, $\phi(e)=\alpha e$, where $\alpha=\alpha_{e}$. Case (1), for $x=e$ and $y=z$, entails $\alpha_{1} e+\beta_{1} z+\gamma_{1} w=$ $\phi(z)=2 \phi(e z)=2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}(e \phi(z)+\phi(e) z)=\alpha_{1} e+\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta_{1} z+\gamma_{1} w+\alpha z\right)$, whence $\beta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta_{1}+\alpha\right)$ and $\gamma_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}$; that is, $\beta_{1}=\alpha$ and $\gamma_{1}=0$. Similarly, substituting in (1) $x=e$ and $y=w$, we obtain $\gamma_{2}=\alpha$ and $\beta_{2}=0$. For $x=z$ and $y=w$ in (1), we have $\alpha e=\phi(e)=\phi([z, w])=\frac{1}{2}(z \phi(w)+\phi(z) w)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2} z+\alpha e+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} w+\alpha e\right)$, whence $\phi(e)=\alpha e, \phi(z)=\alpha z$, and $\phi(w)=\alpha w$, where $\alpha \in F$. Consequently, $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for any $x \in K_{3}$.

We handle the second case. For $x=e$ and $y=z$ in (1), we have $\alpha_{1} e+\beta_{1} z+\gamma_{1} w=\phi(z)=2 \phi(e z)=$ $2 \delta(e \phi(z)+\phi(e) z)=\delta\left(2 \alpha_{1} e+\beta_{1} z+\gamma_{1} w\right)$, which yields $\phi(z)=0$. Similarly, we arrive at $\phi(w)=0$. The fact that $\phi$ is linear implies $\phi=0$. The lemma is proved.

At the moment, we define a one-parameter family of four-dimensional superalgebras $D_{t}$. For $t \in F$ fixed, the given family is defined thus:

$$
D_{t}=\left(D_{t}\right)_{0}+\left(D_{t}\right)_{1},
$$

where $\left(D_{t}\right)_{0}=F e_{1}+F e_{2},\left(D_{t}\right)_{1}=F x+F y, e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}, e_{1} e_{2}=0, e_{i} x=\frac{1}{2} x, e_{i} y=\frac{1}{2} y,[x, y]=e_{1}+t e_{2}$, $i=1,2$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of $D_{t}$. Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(e_{1}\right) & =\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\beta_{1} e_{2}+\gamma_{1} z+\lambda_{1} w, \phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2} e_{1}+\beta_{2} e_{2}+\gamma_{2} z+\lambda_{2} w \\
\phi(z) & =\alpha_{z} e_{1}+\beta_{z} e_{2}+\gamma_{z} z+\lambda_{z} w, \phi(w)=\alpha_{w} e_{1}+\beta_{w} e_{2}+\gamma_{w} z+\lambda_{w} w
\end{aligned}
$$

with coefficients in $F$.
Putting $x=y=e_{1}$ and then $x=y=e_{2}$ in (1), we obtain $\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\beta_{1} e_{2}+\gamma_{1} z+\lambda_{1} w=\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\phi\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=$ $2 \delta\left(e_{1} \phi\left(e_{1}\right)\right)=2 \delta \alpha_{1} e_{1}+\delta \gamma_{1} z+\delta \lambda_{1} w$ and $\alpha_{2} e_{1}+\beta_{2} e_{2}+\gamma_{2} z+\lambda_{2} w=2 \delta \beta_{2} e_{2}+\delta \gamma_{2} z+\delta \lambda_{2} w$, whence $\alpha_{1}=2 \delta \alpha_{1}$, $\beta_{1}=0, \gamma_{1}=\delta \gamma_{1}, \lambda_{1}=\delta \lambda_{1}, \alpha_{2}=0, \beta_{2}=2 \delta \beta_{2}, \gamma_{2}=\delta \gamma_{2}, \lambda_{2}=\delta \lambda_{2}$.

There are two cases to consider:
(1) $\delta=\frac{1}{2}, \beta_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$;
(2) $\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$.

In the former case, $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} e_{1}$ and $\phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\beta_{2} e_{2}$. Put $x=e_{1}$ and $y=z$ in condition (1); then $\alpha_{z} e_{1}+\beta_{z} e_{2}+\gamma_{z} z+\lambda_{z} w=\phi(z)=2 \phi\left(e_{1} z\right)=2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1} \phi(z)+\phi\left(e_{1}\right) z\right)=\alpha_{z} e_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{z} z+\lambda_{z} w+\alpha_{1} z\right)$, which yields $\alpha_{1}=\gamma_{z}, \beta_{z}=\lambda_{z}=0$.

For $x=e_{2}$ and $y=z$ in (1), we have $\alpha_{z} e_{1}+\gamma_{z} z=\phi(z)=2 \phi\left(e_{2} z\right)=2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2} \phi(z)+\phi\left(e_{2}\right) z\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{z} z+\beta_{2} z\right)$, whence $\gamma_{z}+\beta_{2}=2 \gamma_{z}, \alpha_{z}=0, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{2}$, and $\phi(z)=\alpha z$, where $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$. Similarly, we conclude that $\phi(w)=\alpha w$. The mapping $\phi$ is linear; so $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$, for any $x \in D_{t}$.

We handle the second case. Put $x=e_{1}$ and $y=z$ in (1); then $\alpha_{z} e_{1}+\beta_{z} e_{2}+\lambda_{z} z+\gamma_{z} w=\phi(z)=$ $2 \phi\left(e_{1} z\right)=2 \delta\left(e_{1} \phi(z)+\phi\left(e_{1}\right) z\right)=\delta\left(2 \alpha_{z} e_{1}+\lambda_{z} z+\gamma_{z} w\right)$, which yields $\phi(z)=0$. Arguing similarly for $w$, we arrive at $\alpha_{w} e_{1}+\beta_{w} e_{2}+\gamma_{w} z+\lambda_{w} w=\delta\left(2 \alpha_{w} e_{1}+\gamma_{w} z+\lambda_{w} w\right)$. Consequently, $\phi(w)=0$. Ultimately, the linearity of $\phi$ implies $\phi=0$. The lemma is proved.

The simple ten-dimensional Kac superalgebra $K_{10}$ is defined thus:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{10}=A \oplus M,\left(K_{10}\right)_{0}=A,\left(K_{10}\right)_{1}=M, \text { where } A=A_{1} \oplus A_{2}, \\
A_{1}=F e_{1}+F u z+F u w+F v z+F v w,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
A_{2}=F e_{2}, M=F z+F w+F u+F v
$$

Multiplication is specified by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{i}^{2}=e_{i}, e_{1} \text { is unity in } A_{1}, e_{i} m=\frac{1}{2} m \text { for any } m \in M \\
{[u, z]=u z,[u, w]=u w,[v, z]=v z,[v, w]=v w} \\
{[z, w]=e_{1}-3 e_{2},[u, z] w=-u,[v, z] w=-v,[u, z][v, w]=2 e_{1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

all other non-zero products are obtained from the above either by applying one of the skew-symmetries $z \leftrightarrow w$ or $u \leftrightarrow v$ or by substituting $z \leftrightarrow u$ and $w \leftrightarrow v$ simultaneously.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of $K_{10}$. Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x$, where $\alpha \in F$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\alpha_{3} z+\alpha_{4} w+\alpha_{5} u+\alpha_{6} v+\alpha_{7} u z+\alpha_{8} u w+\alpha_{9} v z+\alpha_{10} v w, \\
& \phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\beta_{1} e_{1}+\beta_{2} e_{2}+\beta_{3} z+\beta_{4} w+\beta_{5} u+\beta_{6} v+\beta_{7} u z+\beta_{8} u w+\beta_{9} v z+\beta_{10} v w, \\
& \phi(z)=\gamma_{1}^{z} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{z} z+\gamma_{4}^{z} w+\gamma_{5}^{z} u+\gamma_{6}^{z} v+\gamma_{7}^{z} u z+\gamma_{8}^{z} u w+\gamma_{9}^{z} v z+\gamma_{10}^{z} v w, \\
& \phi(w)=\gamma_{1}^{w} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{w} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{w} z+\gamma_{4}^{w} w+\gamma_{5}^{w} u+\gamma_{6}^{w} v+\gamma_{7}^{w} u z+\gamma_{8}^{w} u w+\gamma_{9}^{w} v z+\gamma_{10}^{w} v w, \\
& \phi(u)=\gamma_{1}^{u} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{u} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{u} z+\gamma_{4}^{u} w+\gamma_{5}^{u} u+\gamma_{6}^{u} v+\gamma_{7}^{u} u z+\gamma_{8}^{u} u w+\gamma_{9}^{u} v z+\gamma_{10}^{u} v w, \\
& \phi(v)=\gamma_{1}^{v} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{v} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{v} z+\gamma_{4}^{v} w+\gamma_{5}^{v} u+\gamma_{6}^{v} v+\gamma_{7}^{v} u z+\gamma_{8}^{v} u w+\gamma_{9}^{v} v z+\gamma_{10}^{v} v w,
\end{aligned}
$$

where all coefficients are in $F$.
For $x=y=e_{1}$ in (1), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\alpha_{3} z+\alpha_{4} w+\alpha_{5} u+\alpha_{6} v+\alpha_{7} u z+\alpha_{8} u w+\alpha_{9} v z+\alpha_{10} v w= \\
\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\phi\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\delta\left(\phi\left(e_{1}\right) e_{1}+e_{1} \phi\left(e_{1}\right)\right)= \\
2 \delta\left(\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{3} z+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{4} w+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{5} u+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{6} v+\alpha_{7} u z+\alpha_{8} u w+\alpha_{9} v z+\alpha_{10} v w\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

whence $\alpha_{1}=2 \delta \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}=0, \alpha_{3}=\delta \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}=\delta \alpha_{4}, \alpha_{5}=\delta \alpha_{5}, \alpha_{6}=\delta \alpha_{6}, \alpha_{7}=2 \delta \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{8}=2 \delta \alpha_{8}, \alpha_{9}=2 \delta \alpha_{9}$, $\alpha_{10}=2 \delta \alpha_{10}$.

Putting $x=y=e_{2}$ in (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{1} e_{1}+\beta_{2} e_{2}+\beta_{3} z+\beta_{4} w+\beta_{5} u+\beta_{6} v+\beta_{7} u z+\beta_{8} u w+\beta_{9} v z+\beta_{10} v w= \\
\phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\phi\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=\delta\left(\phi\left(e_{2}\right) e_{2}+e_{2} \phi\left(e_{2}\right)\right)=2 \delta e_{2} \phi\left(e_{2}\right)= \\
2 \delta\left(\beta_{2} e_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{3} z+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{4} w+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{5} u+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{6} v\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which yields $\beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=2 \delta \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}=\delta \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}=\delta \beta_{4}, \beta_{5}=\delta \beta_{5}, \beta_{6}=\delta \beta_{6}, \beta_{7}=\beta_{8}=\beta_{9}=\beta_{10}=0$.
Consequently, it suffices to consider the following two cases:
(1) $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$;
(2) $\delta \neq \frac{1}{2}, \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\phi\left(e_{2}\right)=0$.

In the former case, $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} e_{1}+\alpha_{7} u z+\alpha_{8} u w+\alpha_{9} v z+\alpha_{10} v w$ and $\phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\alpha e_{2}$. Put $x=e_{2}$ and $y=z$ in (1); then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}^{z} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{z} z+\gamma_{4}^{z} w+\gamma_{5}^{z} u+\gamma_{6}^{z} v+\gamma_{7}^{z} u z+\gamma_{8}^{z} u w+\gamma_{9}^{z} v z+\gamma_{10}^{z} v w= \\
\phi(z)=2 \phi\left(z e_{2}\right)=\phi(z) e_{2}+z \phi\left(e_{2}\right)= \\
\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3}^{z} z+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{4}^{z} w+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{5}^{z} u+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{6}^{z} v+\frac{1}{2} \alpha z
\end{gathered}
$$

and so $\phi(z)=\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\alpha z$. If in (1) we put $x=e_{1}$ and $y=z$ we obtain $\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\alpha z=\phi(z)=2 \phi\left(z e_{1}\right)=$ $\phi(z) e_{1}+z \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\alpha z\right) e_{1}+z\left(\alpha_{1} e_{1}++\alpha_{7} u z+\alpha_{8} u w+\alpha_{9} v z+\alpha_{10} v w\right)$, whence $\gamma_{2}^{z}=0$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{1} ;$ that is, $\phi(z)=\alpha z$. Similarly, for $w, u$, and $v$, we have $\phi(u)=\alpha u, \phi(v)=\alpha v$, and $\phi(w)=\alpha w$. Hence
$\phi(u z)=\phi([u, z])=\frac{1}{2}(\phi(u) z+u \phi(z))=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha[u, z]+\alpha[u, z])=\alpha u z$. Analogously, we obtain $\phi(u w)=\alpha u w$, $\phi(v z)=\alpha v z$, and $\phi(v w)=\alpha v w$.

Let $x=[u, z]$ and $y=[v, w]$ in (1); then

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\phi([u, z][v, w])=\frac{1}{2}(\phi([u, z])[v, w]+[u, z] \phi([v, w]))= \\
\alpha[u, z][v, w]=2 \alpha e_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The fact that $\phi$ is linear implies $\phi(x)=\alpha x, \alpha \in F$, for $x \in K_{10}$ arbitrary.
We handle the second case. Put $x=z$ and $y=e_{1}$ in (1). Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{1}^{z} e_{1}+\gamma_{2}^{z} e_{2}+\gamma_{3}^{z} z+\gamma_{4}^{z} w+\gamma_{5}^{z} u+\gamma_{6}^{z} v+\gamma_{7}^{z} u z+\gamma_{8}^{z} u w+\gamma_{9}^{z} v z+\gamma_{10}^{z} v w= \\
\phi(z)=2 \phi\left(z e_{1}\right)=2 \delta\left(\phi(z) e_{1}+z \phi\left(e_{1}\right)\right)= \\
2 \delta\left(\gamma_{1}^{z} e_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{3}^{z} z+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{4}^{z} w+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{5}^{z} u+\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{6}^{z} v+\gamma_{7}^{z} u z+\gamma_{8}^{z} u w+\gamma_{9}^{z} v z+\gamma_{10}^{z} v w\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

which yields $\phi(z)=0$. Similarly, we arrive at $\phi(w)=\phi(v)=\phi(u)=0$. Since $e_{1}, e_{2}, z, v, u$, w generate $K_{10}$, we have $\phi=0$. The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 4.4. Let $A$ be a simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 , and let $\phi$ be a non-trivial $\delta$-derivation of $A$. Then $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(x)=\alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in F$ and for any $x \in A$.

The proof follows from Theorems 1.2, 2.1 and Lemmas 3.1-3.6, 4.1-4.3.
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