

# Some forms of exceptional Lie algebras

John R. Faulkner  
University of Virginia  
Department of Mathematics  
Kerchof Hall, P. O. Box 400137  
Charlottesville VA 22904-4137  
USA  
email: jrf@virginia.edu

April 29, 2013

## Abstract

Some forms of Lie algebras of types  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ , and  $E_8$  are constructed using the exterior cube of a rank 9 finitely generated projective module.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$  be a simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  of type  $X_l$  and let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of a Chevalley basis of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$ . We say that a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  over a unitary commutative ring  $k$  is a *form* of  $X_l$  if there is a faithfully flat, commutative, unital  $k$ -algebra  $F$  with  $\mathcal{G}_F \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})_F$  where  $\mathcal{G}_F = \mathcal{G} \otimes_k F$  as a  $F$ -module. The main purpose of this paper is the construction of some forms of  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ , and  $E_8$  using the exterior cube of a rank 9 finitely generated projective module. In §2, we develop the necessary exterior algebra and localization machinery. In §3, we construct a Lie algebra from the exterior cube of a rank 9 finitely generated projective module, and then give a twisted version of the construction. In §4, we show that the Lie algebras are forms of  $E_8$  and identify some subalgebras which are forms of  $E_6$  and  $E_7$ .

## 2 Preliminary results

Let  $k$  be a unitary commutative ring. Throughout, we require that a  $k$ -module  $M$  be unital; i.e.,  $1x = x$  for  $x \in M$ . Let  $M^* = \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$ , the dual module. Recall that a  $k$ -module  $M$  is *projective* if  $M$  is a direct summand of a free module ([B88], II.2.2). Moreover,  $M$  is a finitely generated projective module if and only if  $M$  is a direct summand of a free module of finite rank

([B88],II.2.2). Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated projective modules. Then  $M^*$  and  $M \otimes N$  are also finitely generated projective ([B88],II.2.6,II.3.7), and we may identify  $M$  with  $M^{**}$  where  $m(\phi) = \phi(m)$  for  $m \in M$  and  $\phi \in M^*$  ([B88],II.2.7). Moreover, the linear map

$$M \otimes M^* \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$$

with  $m \otimes \phi \rightarrow m\phi$  where  $(m\phi)(m') = \phi(m')m$  is bijective ([B88],II.4.2). Thus, we can define the *trace* function  $tr$  on  $\text{End}(M)$  as the unique linear map with  $tr(m\phi) = \phi(m)$ . Since

$$tr((m\phi)(m'\phi')) = \phi'(m)\phi(m'),$$

we see that  $tr(\alpha\beta) = tr(\beta\alpha)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}(M)$ . Letting  $gl(M) = \text{End}(M)$  with Lie product  $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$ , we see

$$[gl(M), gl(M)] \subset sl(M) := \{\alpha \in gl(M) : tr(\alpha) = 0\},$$

so  $sl(M)$  is an ideal in  $gl(M)$ .

Let  $k\text{-alg}$  denote the category of commutative unital  $k$ -algebras. If  $K \in k\text{-alg}$  and  $M, N$  are  $k$ -modules, let  $M_K = M \otimes_k K$  as a  $K$ -module. If  $M$  is a finitely generated projective  $k$ -module, then

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_k N)_K &\cong M_K \otimes_K N_K, \\ (M^*)_K &\cong (M_K)^*, \\ gl(M)_K &\cong gl(M_K) \end{aligned}$$

via canonical isomorphisms ([B88],II.5.1,II.5.4).

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $k$ , let  $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = (k \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}k$  be the *localization* of  $k$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  be the *localization* of  $M$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  ([B89],II). If  $M$  is finitely generated projective, then  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of finite rank ([B89],II.5.2). If  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has rank  $n$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k$ , we say  $M$  has *rank*  $n$ . In this case,  $M_K$  has rank  $n$  for all  $K \in k\text{-alg}$  ([B89],II.5.3). Moreover, if  $M, N$  are finitely generated projective modules and  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ , then  $\alpha$  is injective (respectively, surjective, bijective, zero) if and only if  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha \otimes Id_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in \text{Hom}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is injective (respectively, surjective, bijective, zero) for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  ([B89], II.3.3). This allows the transfer of multilinear identities using localization as follows: if  $M_1, \dots, M_l, N$  are finite generated projective modules and

$$\mu : M_1 \times \dots \times M_l \rightarrow N$$

is a  $k$ -multilinear map, then for  $K \in k\text{-alg}$  there is a unique  $K$ -multilinear map

$$\mu_K : M_{1K} \times \dots \times M_{lK} \rightarrow N_K$$

with

$$\mu_K(m_1 \otimes 1, \dots, m_l \otimes 1) = \mu(m_1, \dots, m_l) \otimes 1. \quad (1)$$

We claim  $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  implies  $\mu = 0$ . Indeed,  $M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_l$  is finitely generated projective and  $\mu$  induces a linear map

$$\tilde{\mu} : M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_l \rightarrow N$$

with each  $(\tilde{\mu})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \widetilde{(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}})} = 0$ , so  $\tilde{\mu} = 0$  and  $\mu = 0$ .

Recall  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  is *faithfully flat* provided a sequence  $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$  is exact if and only if the induced sequence  $M'_F \rightarrow M_F \rightarrow M''_F$  is exact. We shall need the following example of a faithfully flat algebra. Recall a quadratic form  $q$  on  $M$  is *nonsingular* if  $a \rightarrow q(a, \cdot)$  is an isomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^*$  where

$$q(a, b) := q(a + b) - q(a) - q(b).$$

We say that  $K \in k\text{-alg}$  is a *quadratic étale algebra* if  $K$  is a finitely generated projective  $k$ -module of rank 2 with a nonsingular quadratic form  $n$  admitting composition; i.e.,

$$n(ab) = n(a)n(b).$$

We did not find a suitable reference for the following result, so we include a proof communicated to us by H. Petersson.

**Proposition 1** *If  $K$  is a quadratic étale algebra over  $k$ , then  $K$  is faithfully flat and  $K_K \cong K \oplus K$ .*

**Proof.** For each maximal ideal  $m$  of  $k$ ,  $K_m$  is a nonzero free  $k_m$ -module, and hence faithfully flat ([B89], II.3.1). Thus,  $K$  is faithfully flat over  $k$  ([B89], II.3.4). Let  $t(a) = n(a, 1)$  and  $\bar{a} = t(a)1 - a$ , for  $a \in K$ . We claim  $\eta : K_K \rightarrow K \oplus K$  with  $\eta(a \otimes b) = ab \oplus \bar{a}b$  is a  $K$ -algebra isomorphism. Using localization, it suffices to assume that  $k$  is a field. In this case, it is well-known that  $K$  is commutative,  $n(1) = 1$ ,  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$  is an involution, and  $a^{-1} = n(a)^{-1}\bar{a}$ , if  $n(a) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\eta$  is a homomorphism of  $K$ -algebras with involution where  $K \oplus K$  has the exchange involution. By dimensions, it suffices to show  $\eta$  is surjective. Let  $1, u$  be a  $k$ -basis of  $K$ . We see

$$\begin{aligned} n(\bar{u} - u) &= n(t(u)1 - 2u) \\ &= 4n(u) - t(u)^2 \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} n(1, 1) & n(1, u) \\ n(u, 1) & n(u, u) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $n$  is nonsingular, so  $\bar{u} - u$  is invertible. Now  $\eta(u \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes u) = 0 \oplus (\bar{u} - u)$ , so  $\eta(K_K)$  contains  $0 \oplus 1, 1 \oplus 0 = \overline{0 \oplus 1}$ , and hence  $K \oplus K$ . ■

We now recall some facts about exterior algebras. For more details see [B88]. Let  $M$  be a  $k$ -module and form the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(M)$  with the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$\Lambda(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Lambda_i(M),$$

and write  $|x| = i$ , if  $x \in \Lambda_i(M)$ . For simplicity of notation, we write the product in  $\Lambda(M)$  as  $xy$  rather than the usual  $x \wedge y$ . We have  $\Lambda(M)_K \cong \Lambda(M_K)$  via a canonical isomorphism ([B88], III.7.5). If  $M$  is finitely generated projective, then so is  $\Lambda(M)$  ([B88], III.7.8). If  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ , then  $\alpha$  extends uniquely to a graded algebra homomorphism  $\theta_\alpha : \Lambda(M) \rightarrow \Lambda(N)$ . Also, if  $\alpha \in \text{gl}(M)$ , then there is a unique extension of  $\alpha$  to a derivation  $D_\alpha$  of  $\Lambda(M)$ . Thus,  $\Lambda(M)$  is a module for the Lie algebra  $\text{gl}(M)$  via  $(\alpha, x) \rightarrow D_\alpha(x)$ . Similarly, if  $\phi \in M^*$ , then there is a unique extension of  $\phi$  to an anti-derivation (or odd super derivation)  $\Delta_\phi$  of  $\Lambda(M)$ . Recall  $\Delta$  is an *anti-derivation* if

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + (-1)^{|x|}x\Delta(y)$$

if  $x$  is homogeneous. One can show by induction on  $i$  that

$$\Delta_\phi(\Lambda_i(M)) \subset \Lambda_{i-1}(M), \quad (2)$$

where  $\Lambda_l(M) = 0$  for  $l < 0$ , and  $\Delta_\phi^2 = 0$ . Thus, the universal property for  $\Lambda(M^*)$  shows that  $\phi \rightarrow \Delta_\phi$  extends to a homomorphism  $\Delta : \Lambda(M^*)$  into  $\text{End}_k(\Lambda(M))$ , so we can view  $\Lambda(M)$  as a left module for the associative algebra  $\Lambda(M^*)$  with  $\xi \cdot x = \Delta_\xi(x)$  for  $\xi \in \Lambda(M^*)$ ,  $x \in \Lambda(M)$ . Using (2), we see

$$\Lambda_i(M^*) \cdot \Lambda_j(M) \subset \Lambda_{j-i}(M).$$

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective  $k$ -module. Since  $M^{**} = M$ , we can reverse the roles of  $M$  and  $M^*$  and see that  $\Lambda(M^*)$  is a left module for  $\Lambda(M)$  via  $x \cdot \xi$ . Also, we can identify  $\Lambda_i(M^*)$  with  $\Lambda_i(M)^*$  where  $\xi(x) = \xi \cdot x$  for  $\xi \in \Lambda_i(M^*)$ ,  $x \in \Lambda_i(M)$  ([B88], III.11.5).

For  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$ , let  $\alpha^* \in \text{Hom}(N^*, M^*)$  with  $\alpha^*(\phi) = \phi\alpha$  for  $\phi \in N^*$ . Thus,  $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha^*$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\text{gl}(M) \rightarrow \text{gl}(M^*)$  and  $\Lambda(M^*)$  is a module for  $\text{gl}(M)$  via  $(\alpha, \xi) \rightarrow D_{-\alpha^*}(\xi)$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $l \leq n$  and let  $S \subset S_n$  be such that  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma|_{\{1, \dots, l\}}$  is a bijection of  $S$  with the set of all injections*

$$\{1, \dots, l\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $\phi_i \in M^*$ ,  $m_j \in M$ , we have

$$(\phi_l \phi_{l-1} \cdots \phi_1) \cdot (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} (-1)^\sigma \phi_1(m_{\sigma_1}) \cdots \phi_l(m_{\sigma_l}) m_{\sigma(l+1)} \cdots m_{\sigma_n}.$$

**Proof.** Applying  $\Delta_{\phi_l} \cdots \Delta_{\phi_1}$  to  $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ , we get terms

$$\pm \phi_1(m_{i_1}) \cdots \phi_l(m_{i_l}) m_{i_{l+1}} \cdots m_{i_n}$$

with the sign depending only on  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ . There is a unique  $\sigma \in S$  with  $\sigma(j) = i_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . After suitably rearranging the factors of  $m_{i_{l+1}} \cdots m_{i_n}$ , we can assume  $i_j = \sigma(j)$  for all  $j$ . Thus,

$$(\phi_l \phi_{l-1} \cdots \phi_1) \cdot (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} \varepsilon_\sigma \phi_1(m_{\sigma_1}) \cdots \phi_l(m_{\sigma_l}) m_{\sigma(l+1)} \cdots m_{\sigma(n)}$$

for some  $\varepsilon_\sigma = \pm 1$ , depending only on  $\sigma$ . In particular, if  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  is the basis of a vector space  $V$  over a field of characteristic not 2 and  $\phi_i \in V^*$  with  $\phi_i(m_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , then for  $\tau \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{l+1} \cdots m_n &= (\phi_l \cdots \phi_1) \cdot (m_1 \cdots m_n) \\ &= (-1)^\tau (\phi_l \cdots \phi_1) \cdot (m_{\tau^{-1}1} \cdots m_{\tau^{-1}n}) \\ &= (-1)^\tau \sum_{\sigma \in S} \varepsilon_\sigma \phi_1(m_{\tau^{-1}\sigma 1}) \cdots \phi_l(m_{\tau^{-1}\sigma l}) m_{\tau^{-1}\sigma(l+1)} \cdots m_{\tau^{-1}\sigma n} \\ &= (-1)^\tau \varepsilon_\tau m_{l+1} \cdots m_n \end{aligned}$$

and  $\varepsilon_\tau = (-1)^\tau$ . ■

We remark that if  $l = 1$  in Lemma 2, we can take  $S = C_n$ , the cyclic group generated by the permutation  $(1, \dots, n)$ .

If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{gl}(M)$  and  $\phi \in M^*$ , then  $[D_\alpha, \Delta_\phi]$  is an antiderivation with

$$[D_\alpha, \Delta_\phi](m) = D_\alpha(\phi(m)) - \phi(\alpha m) = \Delta_{-\alpha^*(\phi)}(m),$$

for  $m \in M$ . Thus,  $[D_\alpha, \Delta_\phi] = \Delta_{-\alpha^*(\phi)} = \Delta_{D_{-\alpha^*(\phi)}}$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$[D_\alpha, \Delta_\xi] = \Delta_{D_{-\alpha^*(\xi)}}$$

for all  $\xi \in \Lambda(M^*)$ , so

$$D_\alpha(\xi \cdot x) = D_{-\alpha^*(\xi)}(\xi) \cdot x + \xi \cdot D_\alpha(x), \quad (3)$$

for all  $x \in \Lambda(M)$ .

Let  $M$  be finitely generated projective. For  $x \in \Lambda_l(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_l(M^*)$ , define  $e(x, \xi) \in \text{End}(M)$  by

$$e(x, \xi)(m) = (m \cdot \xi) \cdot x \in \Lambda_{l-1}(M^*) \cdot \Lambda_l(M) \subset M$$

for  $m \in M$ . We also have  $e(\xi, x) \in \text{End}(M^*)$ .

**Lemma 3** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective module, and let  $x, y, z \in \Lambda_l(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_l(M^*)$ , and  $\mu \in \Lambda_{3l}(M^*)$ . We have*

- (i)  $x \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot x$ ,
- (ii)  $e(x, \xi)^* = e(\xi, x)$ ,
- (iii) if  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l \in M^*$ , then

$$D_{e(x, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_l)} = \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma ((\phi_{\sigma 2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma l}) \cdot x) \Delta_{\phi_{\sigma 1}},$$

where  $C_l$  is the cyclic group generated by the permutation  $(1, \dots, l)$ ,

$$(iv) \text{tr}(e(x, \xi)) = l\xi \cdot x,$$

$$(v) e(xyz, \mu) = \sum_{x, y, z \circlearrowleft} e(x, (yz) \cdot \mu), \text{ where the sum is over all cyclic permutations of } x, y, z,$$

permutations of  $x, y, z$ ,

$$(vi) \text{ if } l = 3, \text{ then } \xi \cdot (xy) = (\xi \cdot x)y - D_{e(x, \xi)}y + D_{e(y, \xi)}x - (\xi \cdot y)x.$$

**Proof.** Using Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_l \phi_{l-1} \cdots \phi_1) \cdot (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_l) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_l} (-1)^\sigma \phi_1(m_{\sigma_1}) \cdots \phi_l(m_{\sigma_l}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_l} (-1)^\sigma m_{\sigma_1}(\phi_1) \cdots m_{\sigma_l}(\phi_l) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_l} (-1)^\sigma m_1(\phi_{\sigma_1}) \cdots m_l(\phi_{\sigma_l}) \\
&= (m_1 m_2 \cdots m_l) \cdot (\phi_l \phi_{l-1} \cdots \phi_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for  $m_i \in M, \phi_i \in M^*$ , showing (i). For  $\phi \in M^*, m \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(e(x, \xi)^*(\phi))(m) &= \phi(e(x, \xi)(m)) \\
&= \phi \cdot ((m \cdot \xi) \cdot x) = (\phi(m \cdot \xi)) \cdot x \\
&= (-1)^{l-1} ((m \cdot \xi) \phi) \cdot x = (-1)^{l-1} (m \cdot \xi) \cdot (\phi \cdot x) \\
&= (-1)^{l-1} (\phi \cdot x) \cdot (m \cdot \xi) = m \cdot ((\phi \cdot x) \cdot \xi) \\
&= (e(\xi, x)(\phi))(m)
\end{aligned}$$

showing (ii).

If  $m \in M, \phi \in M^*$  it is easy to see that  $m\Delta_\phi : x \rightarrow m(\phi \cdot x)$  is a derivation of  $\Lambda(M)$ , so  $m\Delta_\phi = D_{m\phi}$ . By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(x, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_l)m &= (m \cdot (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_l)) \cdot x \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma ((m \cdot \phi_{\sigma_1})(\phi_{\sigma_2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma_l})) \cdot x \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma ((\phi_{\sigma_2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma_l}) \cdot x) \Delta_{\phi_{\sigma_1}}(m),
\end{aligned}$$

for  $m \in M$ , and (iii) follows. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
tr(e(x, \phi_1 \cdots \phi_l)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma \phi_{\sigma_1}((\phi_{\sigma_2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma_l}) \cdot x) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma \phi_{\sigma_1} \cdot ((\phi_{\sigma_2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma_l}) \cdot x) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in C_l} (-1)^\sigma (\phi_{\sigma_1} \phi_{\sigma_2} \cdots \phi_{\sigma_l}) \cdot x \\
&= l(\phi_1 \cdots \phi_l) \cdot x,
\end{aligned}$$

showing (iv). For (v), we see

$$\phi \cdot (xyz) = (\phi \cdot x)yz + (-1)^l x(\phi \cdot y)z + xy(\phi \cdot z) = \sum_{x, y, z \in \circlearrowleft} (\phi \cdot x)yz,$$

for  $\phi \in M^*$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mu, xyz)\phi &= \left( \sum_{x,y,z \in \circlearrowleft} (\phi \cdot x)yz \right) \cdot \mu \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z \in \circlearrowleft} (\phi \cdot x) \cdot ((yz) \cdot \mu) \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z \in \circlearrowleft} e((yz) \cdot \mu, x)\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $e(\mu, xyz) = \sum_{x,y,z \in \circlearrowleft} e((yz) \cdot \mu, x)$ , and (v) follows from (ii). Finally, if  $\xi = \phi_1\phi_2\phi_3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot (xy) &= (\xi \cdot x)y - \sum_{\sigma \in C_3} (-1)^\sigma ((\phi_{\sigma_1}\phi_{\sigma_2}) \cdot x)(\phi_{\sigma_3} \cdot y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in C_3} (-1)^\sigma (\phi_{\sigma_1} \cdot x)((\phi_{\sigma_2}\phi_{\sigma_3}) \cdot y) - x(\xi \cdot y) \\ &= (\xi \cdot x)y - D_{e(x,\xi)}y + D_{e(y,\xi)}x - (\xi \cdot y)x, \end{aligned}$$

showing (vi). ■

**Lemma 4** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective module of rank  $n$ .*

- (i)  $(x \cdot \mu) \cdot u = (\mu \cdot u)x$ , for  $x \in \Lambda(M)$ ,  $u \in \Lambda_n(M)$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda_n(M^*)$ .
- (ii) *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a) *there exist  $u \in \Lambda_n(M)$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_n(M^*)$  with  $\mu \cdot u = 1$ ,*
  - (b)  *$\Lambda_n(M)$  is free of rank 1.*
- (iii)  $D_\alpha(u) = \text{tr}(\alpha)u$  for  $\alpha \in \text{gl}(M)$ ,  $u \in \Lambda_n(M)$ .

**Proof.** We first show (i) in case  $M$  is a free module of rank  $n$ . Since  $\Lambda_n(M)$  is free of rank 1, we may assume  $x = m_l \cdots m_1$ ,  $u = m_n \cdots m_1$ , and  $\mu = \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n$  where  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  is a basis for  $M$  and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  is the dual basis of  $M^*$ ; i.e.,  $\phi_i(m_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((m_l \cdots m_1) \cdot (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n)) \cdot (m_n \cdots m_1) &= (\phi_{l+1} \cdots \phi_n) \cdot (m_n \cdots m_1) \\ &= m_l \cdots m_1 \\ &= ((\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n) \cdot (m_n \cdots m_1))m_l \cdots m_1, \end{aligned}$$

showing (i) in this case. To show the general case, we observe that  $\Lambda(M)$ ,  $\Lambda_n(M^*)$ , and  $\Lambda_n(M)$  are finitely generated projective, and that we can identify  $\Lambda_l(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $\Lambda_l(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Since the trilinear identity (i) holds for the free  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of rank  $n$  for each  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it holds for  $M$ .

If (a) holds, then  $q = (q \cdot \mu) \cdot u = (\mu \cdot q)u$  for  $q \in \Lambda_n(M)$  by (i). Thus,  $q \rightarrow \mu \cdot q$  is a linear map  $\Lambda_n(M) \rightarrow k$  with inverse  $a \rightarrow au$ , and (b) holds. Conversely, if  $\mu : \Lambda_n(M) \rightarrow k$  is an isomorphism, then  $\mu \in \Lambda_n(M)^* = \Lambda_n(M^*)$  and  $\mu \cdot u = \mu(u) = 1$ , so (a) holds, showing (ii). Let

$$\lambda : \text{gl}(M) \otimes \Lambda_n(M) \rightarrow \Lambda_n(M)$$

be the linear map with  $\lambda(\alpha \otimes u) = D_\alpha(u) - \text{tr}(\alpha)u$ . Since (iii) holds for free modules,  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k$ , so  $\lambda = 0$  and (iii) holds. ■

We remark that if condition (ii)(a) in Lemma 4 holds, then  $\{u\}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda_n(M)$ ,  $\{\mu\}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda_n(M^*)$ , and  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $u$ .

### 3 Constructions of Lie algebras

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective module of rank 9 and suppose there exist  $u \in \Lambda_9(M)$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_9(M^*)$  with  $\mu \cdot u = 1$ . The Lie algebra  $gl(M)$  acts on  $\Lambda_3(M)$  via  $\rho_M : \alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha |_{\Lambda_3(M)}$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{gl}(M) := \rho_M(gl(M)) + kId_{\Lambda_3(M)}$  is a Lie algebra. Since  $\rho_M(Id_M) = 3Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}$ , we see that  $\tilde{gl}(M) = \rho_M(gl(M))$  if  $\frac{1}{3} \in k$ . Suppose  $\beta \in gl(\Lambda_3(M))$  extends to a derivation  $d_\beta$  of the subalgebra

$$\Lambda_{(3)}(M) := k \oplus \Lambda_3(M) \oplus \Lambda_6(M) \oplus \Lambda_9(M)$$

of  $\Lambda(M)$ . Since  $\beta$  uniquely determines  $d_\beta$ , we can define  $T(\beta) = \mu \cdot d_\beta(u)$ . If  $\alpha \in gl(M)$ , then  $\rho_M(\alpha)$  and  $Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}$  extend to derivations of  $\Lambda_{(3)}(M)$  with  $d_{\rho_M(\alpha)} = D_\alpha |_{\Lambda_{(3)}(M)}$  and  $d_{Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}}(x) = rx$  for  $x \in \Lambda_{3r}(M)$ . Thus, each  $\beta \in \tilde{gl}(M)$  extends to a derivation  $d_\beta$  of  $\Lambda_{(3)}(M)$ , and we have defined a linear map  $T : \tilde{gl}(M) \rightarrow k$  with  $T(\rho_M(\alpha)) = \text{tr}(\alpha)$  by Lemma 4(iii) and  $T(Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}) = 3$ . Set  $\tilde{sl}(M) = \{\beta \in \tilde{gl}(M) : T(\beta) = 0\}$ , so  $\tilde{sl}(M) = \rho_M(sl(M))$  if  $\frac{1}{3} \in k$ . Note that

$$[\tilde{gl}(M), \tilde{gl}(M)] \subset \rho_M([gl(M), gl(M)]) \subset \rho_M(sl(M)) \subset \tilde{sl}(M),$$

so  $\tilde{sl}(M)$  is an ideal of  $\tilde{gl}(M)$ . Note that  $\tilde{gl}(M)$  is a Lie algebra of linear transformations of  $\Lambda_3(M)$  with the contragredient action on  $\Lambda_3(M)^* = \Lambda_3(M^*)$ . In particular, (3) shows

$$\rho_M(\alpha)^* = D_{\alpha^*} |_{\Lambda_3(M^*)} = \rho_{M^*}(\alpha^*) \text{ for } \alpha \in gl(M). \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 5** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective module of rank 9 and suppose there exist  $u \in \Lambda_9(M)$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_9(M^*)$  with  $\mu \cdot u = 1$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{G}(M, u) = \tilde{sl}(M) \oplus \Lambda_3(M) \oplus \Lambda_3(M^*)$$

*is a Lie algebra with skew symmetric product given by*

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \beta] &= \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha, \\ [\alpha, x] &= \alpha(x), \quad [\alpha, \xi] = -\alpha^*(\xi), \\ [x, y] &= (xy) \cdot \mu, \quad [\xi, \psi] = (\xi\psi) \cdot u, \\ [x, \xi] &= \delta(x, \xi) := \rho(e(x, y)) - (x \cdot \xi)Id_{\Lambda_3(M)} \end{aligned}$$

*for  $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $x, y \in \Lambda_3(M)$ , and  $\xi, \psi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$ .*



**Proof.** We recall that Lemma 4(ii) shows that  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $u$ . Also, Lemma 3(iv) shows that  $\delta(x, y) \in \widetilde{sl}(M)$ . It suffices to check the Jacobi identity

$$J(z_1, z_2, z_3) = [[z_1 z_2] z_3] + [[z_2 z_3] z_1] + [[z_3 z_1] z_2] = 0$$

for  $z_i \in \widetilde{sl}(M) \cup \Lambda_3(M) \cup \Lambda_3(M^*)$ . Moreover, since the product is skew-symmetric,

$$J(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0 \text{ implies } J(z_{\pi 1}, z_{\pi 2}, z_{\pi 3}) = 0$$

for any  $\pi \in S_3$ . Since  $\widetilde{sl}(M)$  is a Lie algebra of linear transformations of  $\Lambda_3(M)$  with the contragredient action on  $\Lambda_3(M)^* = \Lambda_3(M^*)$ , the Jacobi identity holds if two or more of  $z_i$  are in  $\widetilde{sl}(M)$ . Interchanging the roles of  $M$  and  $M^*$ , if necessary, we are left with the following cases with  $\alpha \in \widetilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $x, y, z \in \Lambda_3(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$ :

Case 1:  $J(\alpha, x, \xi)$ . We know that  $gl(M)$  acts as derivations of  $\Lambda(M)$  via  $\gamma \rightarrow D_\gamma$ , and as derivations of  $\Lambda(M^*)$  via  $\gamma \rightarrow -D_{\gamma^*}$ . Also, these actions are derivations of the products  $\Lambda(M^*) \cdot \Lambda(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M) \cdot \Lambda(M^*)$  by (3). Thus,  $gl(M)$  acts as derivations of the triple product

$$\delta(x, \xi)(y) = D_{e(x, \xi)}(y) - (x \cdot \xi)y.$$

Now  $End(\Lambda_3(M))$  acts on  $\Lambda_3(M^*)$  via  $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha^*$ . Since  $\rho_M(\gamma)^* = D_{\gamma^*}|_{\Lambda_3(M^*)}$  for  $\gamma \in gl(M)$ , we see that  $\rho_M(gl(M))$  also acts as derivations of  $\delta(x, \xi)(y)$ . Clearly,  $Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}$  acts as derivations of the triple product, so  $[\alpha, \delta(x, \xi)] = \delta(\alpha x, \xi) + \delta(x, -\alpha^* \xi)$ , showing case 1.

Case 2:  $J(\alpha, x, y)$ . As above,  $\widetilde{sl}(M)$  acts as derivations of  $\mu \cdot u = 1$  and  $(xy) \cdot \mu$ . Thus,

$$0 = (d_{-\alpha^*} \mu) \cdot u + \mu \cdot (d_\alpha u) = (d_{-\alpha^*} \mu) \cdot u,$$

so  $d_{-\alpha^*} \mu = 0$ , and

$$\alpha((xy) \cdot \mu) = ((\alpha x)y) \cdot \mu + (x(\alpha y)) \cdot \mu + (xy) \cdot (d_{-\alpha^*} \mu),$$

so  $[\alpha[x, y]] = [\alpha x, y] + [x, \alpha y]$ .

Case 3 :  $J(x, y, \xi)$ . We see by Lemma 3(vi) that

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], \xi] &= (((xy) \cdot \mu)\xi) \cdot u = -(\xi((xy) \cdot \mu)) \cdot u \\ &= -\xi \cdot (((xy) \cdot \mu) \cdot u) = -\xi \cdot (xy) \\ &= -(\xi \cdot x)y + D_{e(x, \xi)}y - D_{e(y, \xi)}x + (\xi \cdot y)x \\ &= \delta(x, \xi)(y) - \delta(y, \xi)(x) \\ &= [[x, \xi], y] - [[y, \xi], x]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4:  $J(x, y, z)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], z] &= -\delta(z, (xy) \cdot \mu) = -\rho_M(e(z, (xy) \cdot \mu)) + z \cdot ((xy) \cdot \mu) Id_{\Lambda_3(M)} \\ &= -\rho_M(e(z, (xy) \cdot \mu)) + ((xyz) \cdot \mu) Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Lemma 3(v) and Lemma 4(i),

$$\sum_{x,y,z \in \mathcal{O}} e(x, (yz) \cdot \mu) = e(xyz, \mu) = ((xyz) \cdot \mu) Id_M.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{x,y,z \in \mathcal{O}} [[x, y], z] = -((xyz) \cdot \mu) \rho_M(Id_M) + 3((xyz) \cdot \mu) Id_{\Lambda_3(M)} = 0.$$

■

Suppose  $\omega : M \rightarrow N$  is a  $\sigma$ -semilinear homomorphism where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $k$ . Extending the definition for linear maps, we define the  $\sigma^{-1}$ -semilinear map  $\omega^* : N^* \rightarrow M^*$  with  $\omega^*(\phi) = \sigma^{-1}\phi\omega$ . Let  $\theta_\omega$  be the unique extension of  $\omega$  to a  $\sigma$ -semilinear homomorphism  $\Lambda(M) \rightarrow \Lambda(N)$ . Note  $\theta_\omega(a) = \sigma(a)$  for  $a \in k$ .

**Lemma 6** *Let  $M, u$  be as in Theorem 5. The map*

$$\alpha \oplus x \oplus \xi \rightarrow -\alpha^* \oplus \xi \oplus x \quad (5)$$

for  $\alpha \in \tilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $x \in \Lambda_3(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$  is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M^*, \mu)$ . If  $\omega : M \rightarrow N$  is a  $\sigma$ -semilinear isomorphism, then

$$\alpha \oplus x \oplus \xi \rightarrow \theta_\omega \alpha \theta_\omega^{-1} \oplus \theta_\omega x \oplus \theta_{\omega^*} \xi \quad (6)$$

for  $\alpha \in \tilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $x \in \Lambda_3(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$  is a  $\sigma$ -semilinear isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(N, \theta_\omega u)$ .

**Proof.** Using (4) and Lemma 3, we see  $\delta(x, \xi)^* = \delta(\xi, x)$ . It is then clear that (5) is an isomorphism.

The Lie product on  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is completely determined by the graded products on  $\Lambda(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M^*)$ , the actions of  $\Lambda(M^*)$  on  $\Lambda(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M)$  on  $\Lambda(M^*)$ , the actions  $\beta \rightarrow \rho_M(\beta) = D_\beta|_{\Lambda_3(M)}$  and  $\beta \rightarrow -\rho_M(\beta)^*$  of  $gl(M)$  on  $\Lambda_3(M)$  and  $\Lambda_3(M^*)$ , and the elements  $u \in \Lambda_9(M)$ ,  $\mu \in \Lambda(M^*)$ . Thus, if  $\eta : \Lambda(M) \rightarrow \Lambda(N)$  and  $\eta' : \Lambda(M^*) \rightarrow \Lambda(N^*)$  are graded ring isomorphisms and  $\check{\eta} : gl(M) \rightarrow gl(N)$  is a Lie ring isomorphism with

$$\eta(\xi \cdot x) = \eta'(\xi) \cdot \eta(x), \quad (7)$$

$$\eta'(x \cdot \xi) = \eta(x) \cdot \eta'(\xi), \quad (8)$$

$$\rho_N(\check{\eta}(\beta)) = \eta \rho_M(\beta) \eta^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

$$\rho_N(\check{\eta}(\beta))^* = \eta' \rho_M(\beta)^* \eta'^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

for  $x \in \Lambda_3(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$ , and  $\beta \in gl(M)$ , then

$$\alpha \oplus x \oplus \xi \rightarrow \eta \alpha \eta^{-1} \oplus \eta x \oplus \eta' \xi$$

is a Lie ring isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(N, \eta u)$ . Now let  $\eta = \theta_\omega$ ,  $\eta' = \theta_{\omega^{*-1}}$ , and  $\check{\eta}(\beta) = \omega\beta\omega^{-1}$ . We can rewrite (7) as

$$\theta_\omega \Delta_\xi \theta_\omega^{-1} = \Delta_{\theta_{\omega^{*-1}}(\xi)}. \quad (11)$$

Since both sides of (11) are multiplicative in  $\xi$ , we can assume  $\xi \in M^*$ . In that case, both sides are antiderivations of  $\Lambda(N)$ , so it suffices to apply both sides to  $\theta_\omega(M) = N$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\theta_{\omega^{*-1}}(\xi)} \theta_\omega(m) &= \omega^{*-1}(\xi)(\omega(m)) = (\sigma\xi\omega^{-1})(\omega(m)) \\ &= \sigma\xi(m) = \theta_\omega(\xi(m)) = \theta_\omega \Delta_\xi(m), \end{aligned}$$

and (7) follows. Reversing the roles of  $M$  and  $M^*$  gives (8). If  $\beta \in gl(M)$ , then  $\theta_\omega D_\beta \theta_\omega^{-1} = D_{\omega\beta\omega^{-1}}$  since they are derivations agreeing on  $\theta_\omega(M) = N$ . This shows (9). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N(\omega\beta\omega^{-1})^* &= \rho_{N^*}((\omega\beta\omega^{-1})^*) = \rho_{N^*}(\omega^{*-1}\beta^*\omega^*) \\ &= \theta_{\omega^{*-1}}\rho_M(\beta)^*\theta_{\omega^*}, \end{aligned}$$

showing (10). Thus, the  $\sigma$ -semilinear map (6) is a Lie isomorphism. ■

Let  $K$  be a unital commutative ring with involution  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$  and let  $k$  be the subring of fixed elements. Let  $M$  be a finite generated projective  $K$ -module of rank 9 with a nonsingular hermitian form  $h$ ; i.e.,  $\eta : m \rightarrow h(m, \cdot)$  is a semilinear isomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^*$ . Define the semilinear involution  $\tau$  on  $gl(M)$  by  $h(m, \alpha n) = h(\tau(\alpha)m, n)$ ; i.e.,  $\tau(\alpha) = \eta^{-1}\alpha^*\eta$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} u(M, h) &= \{\alpha \in gl(M) : \tau(\alpha) = -\alpha\}, \\ su(M, h) &= u(M, h) \cap sl(M), \\ sk(K) &= \{a \in K : \bar{a} = -a\}, \\ \tilde{u}(M, h) &= \rho_M(u(M, h)) + sk(K)Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{u}(M, h)$  is a subalgebra of  $\tilde{gl}(M)$ . Note,  $sk(K)Id_M \subset u(M, h)$ , so  $\tilde{u}(M, h) = \rho_M(u(M, h))$  if  $\frac{1}{3} \in K$ . Finally, set

$$\widetilde{su}(M, h) = \tilde{u}(M, h) \cap \widetilde{sl}(M).$$

We also set  $x \cdot y = \theta_\eta(x) \cdot y$  for  $x, y \in \Lambda(M)$  and  $\delta(x, y) = \delta(x, \theta_\eta(y))$  for  $x, y \in \Lambda_3(M)$ .

**Theorem 7** *Let  $K$  be a unital commutative ring with involution  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$  and let  $k$  be the subring of fixed elements. Let  $M$  be a finite generated projective  $K$ -module of rank 9 with a nonsingular hermitian form  $h$ . If  $u \in \Lambda_9(M)$  with  $u \cdot u = 1$  and  $\mu = \theta_\eta(u)$ , then*

$$\zeta(\alpha \oplus x \oplus \xi) = -\theta_\eta^{-1}\alpha^*\theta_\eta \oplus \theta_\eta^{-1}(\xi) \oplus \theta_\eta(x)$$

for  $\alpha \in \widetilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $x \in \Lambda_3(M)$ ,  $\xi \in \Lambda_3(M^*)$  is a semi-linear automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha \oplus x \oplus \theta_\eta(x) \rightarrow \alpha \oplus x$  is an isomorphism of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$  over  $k$  of fixed points of  $\zeta$  to

$$\mathcal{G}(M, h, u) = \widetilde{su}(M, h) \oplus \Lambda_3(M)$$

with skew-symmetric product given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \beta] &= \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha, \\ [\alpha, x] &= \alpha x, \\ [x, y] &= (\delta(x, y) - \delta(y, x)) \oplus (xy) \cdot u \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \widetilde{su}(M, h)$ ,  $x, y \in \Lambda_3(M)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $h$  is hermitian, it is easy to see that  $\eta^* = \eta$  and  $(\theta_\eta \alpha \theta_\eta^{-1})^* = \theta_\eta^{-1} \alpha^* \theta_\eta$ . Thus,  $\zeta$  is the product of the semilinear isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M^*, \mu)$  given by (6) with  $N = M^*$  and  $\omega = \eta$  and the inverse of the isomorphism (5). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\eta^{-1} \rho_M(\alpha)^* \theta_\eta &= \rho_M(\eta^{-1} \alpha^* \eta) = \rho_M(\tau(\alpha)), \\ \theta_\eta^{-1} (a Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}^*) \theta_\eta &= \bar{a} Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$  of fixed points of  $\zeta$  is

$$\mathcal{G}(\zeta) = \{\alpha \oplus x \oplus \theta_\eta(x) : \alpha \in \widetilde{su}(M, h), x \in \Lambda_3(M)\}.$$

The  $\widetilde{su}(M, h)$  component of  $[x \oplus \theta_\eta(x), y \oplus \theta_\eta(y)]$  is

$$[x, \theta_\eta(y)] - [y, \theta_\eta(x)] = \delta(x, y) - \delta(y, x),$$

while the  $\Lambda_3(M)$  component is

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta_\eta(x), \theta_\eta(y)] &= (\theta_\eta(x) \theta_\eta(y)) \cdot u = \theta_\eta(xy) \cdot u \\ &= (xy) \cdot u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\alpha \oplus x \oplus \theta_\eta(x) \rightarrow \alpha \oplus x$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta)$  with  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$ . ■

## 4 Forms of exceptional Lie algebras

**Lemma 8** *If  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  is faithfully flat, then there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(M, u)_F &\cong \mathcal{G}(M_F, u_F), \\ \mathcal{G}(M, h, u)_F &\cong \mathcal{G}(M_F, h_F, u_F), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_F$  is the image of  $u \otimes 1$  in the canonical isomorphism  $\Lambda_9(M)_F \rightarrow \Lambda_9(M_F)$  and  $h_F$  is the extension of the  $k$ -bilinear map  $h$  given by (1).

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is finitely generated projective, we have seen that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\Lambda_3(M)_K \cong \Lambda_3(M_K), \quad (12)$$

$$\Lambda_3(M^*)_K \cong \Lambda_3(M_K^*), \quad (13)$$

$$gl(M)_K \cong gl(M_K), \quad (14)$$

for  $K \in k\text{-alg}$ . Moreover,  $(\rho(gl(M)))_K \cong \rho_K(gl(M_K))$  for  $\rho : \alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha \mid_{\Lambda_3(M)}$ , so

$$\tilde{gl}(M)_K \cong \tilde{gl}(M_K).$$

If  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  is faithfully flat, then the exact sequence

$$\tilde{sl}(M) \rightarrow \tilde{gl}(M) \xrightarrow{T} k$$

implies that

$$\tilde{sl}(M)_F \rightarrow \tilde{gl}(M)_F \xrightarrow{T_F} F$$

is exact. Thus,  $\tilde{sl}(M)_F = \ker(T_F) \cong \tilde{sl}(M_F)$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{su}(M, h)$  is the kernel of the map  $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha + \tau(\alpha)) \oplus T(\alpha)$ , so  $\tilde{su}(M, h)_F \cong \tilde{su}(M_F, h_F)$ . The canonical isomorphisms of the lemma are now obvious. ■

Suppose  $K = k_+ \oplus k_-$  where  $k_\sigma$  is an isomorphic copy of  $k$  via  $a \rightarrow a_\sigma$  and  $\bar{a}_\sigma = a_{-\sigma}$  for  $\sigma = \pm$ . We shall identify  $a \in k$  with  $a_+ \oplus a_- \in K$ , and write  $M_\sigma = 1_\sigma M$  and  $m_\sigma = 1_\sigma m$  where  $M$  is a  $K$ -module and  $m \in M$ .

**Lemma 9** *If  $M, h, u$  and  $\zeta$  are as in Theorem 7 for  $K = k_+ \oplus k_-$ , then*

$$\alpha \oplus x \rightarrow \alpha_+ \oplus x_+ \oplus \theta_\eta(x_-)$$

*is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$  with  $\mathcal{G}(M_+, u_+)$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\mathcal{G}(M, u) = \mathcal{G}(M, u)_+ \oplus \mathcal{G}(M, u)_-$  as Lie algebras over  $K$ . Moreover, since  $\zeta$  is semilinear,  $\zeta$  interchanges  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)_+$  with  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)_-$ , so

$$\mathcal{G}(\zeta) = \{z + \zeta(z) : z \in \mathcal{G}(M, u)_+\}.$$

Thus,  $z \rightarrow z_+$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(\zeta) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M, u)_+$  over  $k$ . Using Theorem 7, we see that

$$\alpha \oplus x \rightarrow (\alpha \oplus x \oplus \theta_\eta(x))_+ = \alpha_+ \oplus x_+ \oplus \theta_\eta(x_-)$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M, u)_+$  over  $k$ . On the other hand,  $M_{k_+} = 1_+ \otimes M_+$  can be identified with  $M_+$  as  $k_+$ -modules. Thus,

$$\mathcal{G}(M, u)_+ = \mathcal{G}(M, u)_{k_+} = \mathcal{G}(M_{k_+}, 1_+ \otimes u)_+ = \mathcal{G}(M_+, u_+)$$

as Lie algebra over  $k_+$  and hence over  $k$ . ■

Suppose  $M, u, \mu$  are as in Theorem 5 and  $M$  is free over  $k$ . Let  $B = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$  be a basis for  $M$  and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_9$  the dual basis of  $M^*$ ; i.e.,  $\phi_i(m_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . For

$$S = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} \subset \{1, \dots, 9\},$$

let

$$\begin{aligned} m_S &= m_{i_1} \cdots m_{i_l}, \\ \phi_S &= \phi_{i_1} \cdots \phi_{i_l}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\{m_S : |S| = l\}$  and  $\{\phi_S : |S| = l\}$  are dual bases for  $\Lambda_l(M)$  and  $\Lambda_l(M^*)$ . Set  $u_B = m_{\{1, \dots, 9\}}$  and  $\mu_B = \phi_{\{1, \dots, 9\}}$ . Since  $u = au_B$ ,  $\mu = b\mu_B$  and  $1 = \mu \cdot u = ab$ , so  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible, we may replace  $m_1$  by  $am_1$  and  $\phi_1$  by  $b\phi_1$  to assume that  $u = u_B$  and  $\mu = \mu_B$ . Now  $e_{ij} := e(m_i, \phi_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 9$  is a basis for  $gl(M)$  and the matrix of  $e_{ij}$  relative to the basis for  $M$  is just the usual matrix unit. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \rho(e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33}) - Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}, \\ h_i &= \rho(e_{ii} - e_{i-1, i-1}) \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq 8. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 10** *If  $M$  is a free module with basis  $B = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$ , then*

$$\tilde{B} = \{h_i : 1 \leq i \leq 8\} \cup \{\rho(e_{ij}) : i \neq j\}$$

*is a basis for  $\tilde{sl}(M)$  and*

$$\hat{B} = \tilde{B} \cup \{m_S : |S| = 3\} \cup \{\phi_S : |S| = 3\}$$

*is a basis for  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B)_K$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}(M_K, u_{B \otimes 1})$  for any  $K \in k\text{-alg}$ .*

**Proof.** First, note  $T(h_1) = 3 - 3 = 0$ , so  $h_1 \in \tilde{sl}(M)$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} e_{ij} \in gl(M)$  and  $b \in k$  with  $\rho(\alpha) + bId_{\Lambda_3(M)} = 0$ . If  $i \neq j$ , choose  $k, s$  with  $i, j, k, s$  distinct. We see that  $\beta = \rho(e_{ij})$  is the only element among  $\rho(e_{pq}), Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}$  with  $\beta(m_j m_k m_s)$  having a nonzero coefficient of  $m_i m_k m_s$ . Thus,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Also,

$$\rho(\alpha)m_i m_j m_k = \sum_{p=1}^9 a_{pp} \rho(e_{pp})m_i m_j m_k = (a_{ii} + a_{jj} + a_{kk})m_i m_j m_k,$$

so  $a_{ii} + a_{jj} + a_{kk} = -b$  for distinct  $i, j, k$ . Thus,  $a_{ii} = a$  and  $b = -3a$  for  $a = a_{11}$ . Now suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^8 c_i h_i + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 9} c_{ij} \rho(e_{ij}) = 0.$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= c_1(e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33}) + \sum_{i=2}^8 c_i(e_{ii} - e_{i-1,i-1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 9} c_{ij}e_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}e_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

we have  $\rho(\alpha) - c_1 Id_{\Lambda_3(M)} = 0$ . Thus,  $c_{ij} = a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Also,  $a_{99} = 0$ , so all  $a_{ii} = 0$  and  $c_1 = -3a_{11} = 0$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{i=2}^8 c_i(e_{ii} - e_{i-1,i-1}) = 0$  forces all  $c_i = 0$ . Thus,  $\tilde{B}$  is independent. To show that it spans  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ , suppose  $\alpha = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}e_{ij}$  and  $x = \rho(\alpha) + b Id_{\Lambda_3(M)} \in \tilde{sl}(M)$ ; i.e.,  $tr(\alpha) + 3b = 0$ . After subtracting  $a_{99}(\rho(Id_M) - 3Id_{\Lambda_3(M)}) = 0$ , we may assume  $a_{99} = 0$ . Subtracting  $a_{ij}\rho(e_{ij})$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $-bh_1$ , we can also assume  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $b = 0$ . Thus,  $tr(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\rho(\alpha)$  is in the span of  $h_2, \dots, h_8$ . Thus,  $\tilde{B}$  is a basis for  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ , and hence  $\hat{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B)$ .

Now  $B \otimes 1 := \{m \otimes 1 : m \in B\}$  is a basis for  $M_K$  and  $\hat{B} \otimes 1$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B)_K$ . The natural bijection between  $\hat{B} \otimes 1$  and the basis  $\widehat{B \otimes 1}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(M_K, u_{B \otimes 1})$  induces a canonical isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B)_K \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M_K, u_{B \otimes 1})$ . ■

We remark that the rank of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is  $8 + 9 \cdot 8 + \binom{9}{3} + \binom{9}{3} = 80 + 2 \cdot 84 = 248$ .

**Theorem 11** *Let  $\mathbb{C}^9$  be the complex vector space of dimension 9 with standard basis  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}^9, u_C)$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $E_8$  and  $\hat{C}$  is a Chevalley basis.*

**Proof.** Let  $M = \mathbb{C}^9$ ,  $C = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$ ,  $u = u_C$ , and  $\mu = \mu_C$ . Since  $\frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\rho : sl(M) \rightarrow \tilde{sl}(M)$  is an isomorphism. Now  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ ,  $\Lambda_3(M)$ , and  $\Lambda_3(M^*)$  are nonisomorphic irreducible  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ -modules, so they are the only irreducible  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ -modules in  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$ . Thus, if  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$ , then complete reducibility shows that  $I$  contains at least one of these submodules. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\neq [\tilde{sl}(M), \Lambda_3(M)] \subset \Lambda_3(M), \\ 0 &\neq [\tilde{sl}(M), \Lambda_3(M^*)] \subset \Lambda_3(M^*), \\ 0 &\neq [\Lambda_3(M), \Lambda_3(M^*)] \subset \tilde{sl}(M),\end{aligned}$$

so  $I$  contains each of these submodules. Thus,  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is simple. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the trace 0 diagonal maps of  $M$  relative to the given basis, so  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $sl(M)$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \rho(\mathcal{H})$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ . Since  $h_1 = \rho(e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33} - \frac{1}{3} Id_M)$ , we see  $h_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 8$  is a basis for  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . The centralizer of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  in  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is contained in  $\tilde{sl}(M)$  and is hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*$  with  $\varepsilon_i(h) = a_i$  where  $\rho^{-1}(h) = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_9) \in \mathcal{H}$ , as a diagonal matrix. Clearly,  $\sum_{i=1}^9 \varepsilon_i = 0$ .

We see that the roots  $\Sigma$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  for  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  are all  $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  for  $i \neq j$  (in  $\tilde{sl}(M)$ ) and all  $\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)$  for distinct  $i, j, k$  (in  $\Lambda_3(M)$  and  $\Lambda_3(M^*)$ ). Let  $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3$  and  $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 8$ . Now  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8\}$  is a basis of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^*$ . Moreover, an examination of the  $\alpha_j$ -string through  $\alpha_i$  shows that  $\Pi$  is a fundamental system of roots with Dynkin diagram  $E_8$  with  $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_8$  forming a diagram of type  $A_7$  and  $\alpha_1$  connected to  $\alpha_4$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is a Lie algebra of type  $E_8$ . To show that  $\hat{C}$  is a Chevalley basis, we need to show ([H72], p. 147)

- (a) for each root  $\alpha$ , there is  $x_\alpha \in \hat{C} \cap \mathcal{G}(M, u)_\alpha$ ,
- (b)  $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$  with  $[h_\alpha, x_\alpha] = 2x_\alpha$ ,
- (c)  $h_{\alpha_i} = h_i$ ,
- (d) the linear map with  $x_\alpha \rightarrow -x_{-\alpha}$ ,  $h_i \rightarrow -h_i$  is an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$ .

Clearly,  $x_\alpha = \rho(e_{ij})$  for  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ ,  $x_\alpha = m_S$  and  $x_{-\alpha} = \phi_S$  for  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k$  and  $S = \{i < j < k\}$  satisfies (a). Now  $[[e_{ij}, e_{ji}], e_{ij}] = [e_{ii} - e_{jj}, e_{ij}] = 2e_{ij}$ , so (b) holds for  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  and (c) holds for  $i \neq 1$ . Lemma 3(v) with  $l = 1$  shows

$$\begin{aligned} e(m_S, \phi_S) &= e(m_i m_j m_k, \phi_k \phi_j \phi_i) = \sum_{i, j, k \circlearrowleft} e(m_i, (m_j m_k) \cdot (\phi_k \phi_j \phi_i)) \\ &= e_{ii} + e_{jj} + e_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [m_S, \phi_S] &= \rho(e(m_S, \phi_S) - \frac{1}{3}(m_S \cdot \phi_S)Id_M) \\ &= \rho(e_{ii} + e_{jj} + e_{kk} - \frac{1}{3}Id_M), \end{aligned}$$

so (b) holds for  $\alpha = \pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)$  and (c) holds for  $i = 1$ . Finally, let  $\mathbb{C}$  have the trivial involution and let  $h$  be the symmetric bilinear form on  $M$  with  $h(m_i, m_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Thus,  $\eta$  as in Theorem 7 has  $\eta(m_i) = \phi_i$ . Now  $\theta_\eta(m_C) = \phi_1 \cdots \phi_9 = \phi_9 \cdots \phi_1 = \phi_C$ , and we have an automorphism  $\zeta$  given by Theorem 7. Since  $\theta_\eta^{-1} \rho(\beta)^* \theta_\eta = \rho(\tau(\beta))$  for  $\beta \in sl(M)$  where  $\tau(e_{ij}) = e_{ji}$ , we see that  $\zeta(h_i) = -h_i$ , and  $\zeta(x_\alpha) = -x_{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ . Also,  $\zeta(x_\alpha) = \theta_\eta(m_S) = \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k = -\phi_S = -x_{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k$  and  $S = \{i < j < k\}$ . Thus, (d) holds and  $\hat{C}$  is a Chevalley basis. ■

Let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$  be a simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  of type  $X_l$  and let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of a Chevalley basis of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$ . Up to isomorphism,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})$  is independent of the choice of Chevalley basis ([H72], p. 150, Exercise 5). Set  $\mathcal{G}(k) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})_k$ . We say that a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $k$  is a *split form* of  $X_l$  if  $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}(k)$  and that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a *form* of  $X_l$  if  $\mathcal{G}_F \cong \mathcal{G}(F)$  for some faithfully flat  $F \in k\text{-alg}$ . If  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  and  $E \in F\text{-alg}$  are faithfully flat, then  $E \in k\text{-alg}$  is faithfully flat. Thus, if  $\mathcal{G}_F$  is a form of  $X_l$  for some faithfully flat  $F \in k\text{-alg}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a form of  $X_l$ .

**Corollary 12** *The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  in Theorem 5 is a form of  $E_8$  and is a split form if  $M$  is free. If  $K$  is a quadratic étale  $k$ -algebra, then the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$  in Theorem 7 is a form of  $E_8$ .*



**Proof.** If  $\hat{C}$  is the Chevalley basis of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}^9, u_C)$  given by Theorem 11, we can identify  $C$  with the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^9$  and  $\hat{C}$  with the corresponding basis for  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^9, u_C)$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^9, u_C) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span  $\hat{C}$ . If  $M, u$  are as in Theorem 5 with  $M$  free, we can choose a basis  $B$  for  $M$  with  $u = u_B$  and  $\mu = \mu_B$ . The isomorphism  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^9 \cong k^9$  taking  $B$  to  $C \otimes 1$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u_B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_k^9, u_{C \otimes 1})$ . Since

$$\mathcal{G}(k) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z})_k = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^9, u_C)_k \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_k^9, u_{C \otimes 1}),$$

by Lemma 10, we see that  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is a split form if  $M$  is free. For the general case, we know there is a faithfully flat  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  with  $M_F$  a free  $k_F$ -module of rank 9 ([B89], II.5, Exercise 8). By Lemma 8 and the result for free  $M$ , we see

$$\mathcal{G}(M, u)_F \cong \mathcal{G}(M_F, u_F) \cong \mathcal{G}(F)$$

and  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  is a form of  $E_8$ .

For  $M, h, u$  as in Theorem 7 with  $K$  a quadratic étale  $k$ -algebra, we know by Proposition 1 that  $K$  is faithfully flat and  $K_K \cong K \oplus K$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)_K \cong \mathcal{G}(M_K, h_K, u_K) \cong \mathcal{G}((M_K)_+, (u_K)_+) \quad (15)$$

by Lemmas 8 and 9, so  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)_K$  and hence  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$  are forms of  $E_8$ . ■

**Theorem 13** *Let  $M, u, \mu$  be as in Theorem 5*

(i) *If  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  with  $M_1$  of rank 3 and  $M_2$  of rank 6, then*

$$\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, u) = [M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2), M_1^* \Lambda_2(M_2^*)] \oplus M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2) \oplus M_1^* \Lambda_2(M_2^*)$$

*is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  and a form of  $E_7$ .*

(ii) *If  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3$  with each  $M_i$  of rank 3, then*

$$\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, M_3, u) = [M_1 M_2 M_3, M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*] \oplus M_1 M_2 M_3 \oplus M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*$$

*is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)$  and a form of  $E_6$ .*

*Let  $M, h, u$  as in Theorem 7 with  $K$  a quadratic étale  $k$ -algebra. Set  $d(x, y) = \delta(x, y) - \delta(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in \Lambda_3(M)$ .*

(iii) *If  $M = M_1 \perp M_2$  with  $M_1$  of rank 3 and  $M_2$  of rank 6, then*

$$\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, h, u) = d(M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2), M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2)) \oplus M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2)$$

*is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$  and a form of  $E_7$ .*

(iv) *If  $M = M_1 \perp M_2 \perp M_3$  with each  $M_i$  of rank 3, then*

$$\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, M_3, h, u) = d(M_1 M_2 M_3, M_1 M_2 M_3) \oplus M_1 M_2 M_3$$

*is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(M, h, u)$  and a form of  $E_6$ .*

**Proof.** We show that  $\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, M_3, u)$  is a subalgebra, and the other cases can be handled similarly. Since  $M_i \cdot M_j^* = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} & ((M_1 M_2 M_3)(M_1 M_2 M_3)) \cdot \Lambda_9(M) \\ = & ((M_1 M_2 M_3)(M_1 M_2 M_3)) \cdot \Lambda_3(M_1^*) \Lambda_3(M_2^*) \Lambda_3(M_3^*) \\ \subset & M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$[M_1 M_2 M_3, M_1 M_2 M_3] \subset M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*$$

and similarly

$$[M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*, M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*] \subset M_1 M_2 M_3.$$

Also,

$$(M_i M_j) \cdot (M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*) \subset M_k^*$$

for  $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Thus,

$$e(M_1 M_2 M_3, M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*) \subset \sum_{i=1}^3 e(M_i, M_i^*)$$

by Lemma 3(v). Since  $\rho(e(M_i, M_i^*))$  stabilizes  $M_1 M_2 M_3$  and  $\rho(e(M_i, M_i^*))^*$  stabilizes  $M_1^* M_2^* M_3^*$ , we see  $\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, M_3, u)$  is a subalgebra.

Since  $\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, h, u)$  is the subalgebra generated by  $M_1 \Lambda_2(M_2)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(M_1, M_2, M_3, h, u)$  is the subalgebra generated by  $M_1 M_2 M_3$ , we can use the isomorphism (15) to reduce cases (iii) and (iv) to cases (i) and (ii). In cases (i) or (ii), there is a faithfully flat  $F \in k\text{-alg}$  with each  $M_{iF}$  free of rank 3 or 6. We can choose a basis  $B = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$  for  $M_F$  with  $1 \otimes u = u_B$  and  $1 \otimes \mu = \mu_B$  which is compatible with the direct sum decomposition; i.e.,  $M_{1F} = \text{span}_F(m_1, m_2, m_3)$  and  $M_{2F} = \text{span}_F(m_4, \dots, m_9)$  or  $M_{iF} = \text{span}_F(m_{3i-2}, m_{3i-1}, m_{3i})$ . The isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(M, u)_F \cong \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^9, u_C)_F$  allows us to reduce to the cases

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathbb{Z}^9 = \mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(4,9)}, \\ M &= \mathbb{Z}^9 = \mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(4,6)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(7,9)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}^{(i,j)} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(m_i, \dots, m_j)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 9$  and  $C = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^9$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}^9, u_C)$  as in Theorem 11. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &= \alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1} \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, \\ \beta_1 &= \alpha_9 = \varepsilon_9 - \varepsilon_8, \\ \beta_4 &= \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_8, \\ \beta_8 &= \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6. \end{aligned}$$

As before, by checking the  $\beta_j$ -string through  $\beta_i$ , we see that  $\tilde{\Pi} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_8\}$  is a fundamental system of roots with Dynkin diagram  $E_8$  with  $\beta_2, \dots, \beta_8$  forming

a diagram of type  $A_7$  and  $\beta_1$  connected to  $\beta_4$ . Moreover, replacing  $h_i$  in  $\tilde{C}$  by  $\tilde{h}_i = h_{\beta_i}$ , we get a Chevalley basis  $\tilde{C}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= \rho(\text{diag}(-2, -2, -2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)), \\ h'' &= \rho(\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, 0, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i(h') &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 7, \\ \beta_8(h') &= 3, \\ \beta_i(h'') &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 6, \\ \beta_7(h'') &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\Sigma' = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(h') = 0\}$$

is a root system of type  $E_7$  and

$$\Sigma'' = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \alpha(h') = \alpha(h'') = 0\}$$

is a root system of type  $E_6$ . Moreover, the subalgebra  $\mathcal{G}'$  generated by all  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Sigma'$  is a complex simple Lie algebra of type  $E_7$  with Chevalley basis  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}'$  and the subalgebra  $\mathcal{G}''$  generated by all  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Sigma''$  is a complex simple Lie algebra of type  $E_6$  with Chevalley basis  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}''$ . We see

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3 \text{ or } 4 \leq i \neq j \leq 9\} \\ &\cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k) : 1 \leq i \leq 3 \text{ and } 4 \leq j \neq k \leq 9\}, \\ \Sigma'' &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 3l - 2 \leq i \neq j \leq 3l \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3\} \\ &\cup \{\pm(\varepsilon_{i_1} + \varepsilon_{i_2} + \varepsilon_{i_3}) : 3l - 2 \leq i_l \leq 3l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[m_i m_k m_l, \phi_l \phi_k \phi_j] = \rho(e_{ij})$  where  $C = \{m_1, \dots, m_9\}$ , we see that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}'$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra by

$$\tilde{C} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)} \Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^{(4,9)}) \cup \mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)*} \Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}^{(4,9)*}))$$

while the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}''$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra by

$$\tilde{C} \cap (\mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)} \mathbb{Z}^{(4,6)} \mathbb{Z}^{(7,9)} \cup \mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)*} \mathbb{Z}^{(4,6)*} \mathbb{Z}^{(7,9)*}).$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)}, \mathbb{Z}^{(4,9)}, u_C)$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}'$  and

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}^{(1,3)}, \mathbb{Z}^{(4,6)}, \mathbb{Z}^{(7,9)}, u_C)$$

is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of  $\tilde{C} \cap \mathcal{G}''$ . ■

## References

- [B88] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics, Algebra I*, Chapters 1-3, Hermann, Paris, 1988.
- [B89] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics, Commutative Algebra*, Chapters 1-7, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [H72] James E Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.