## A NOTE ON SOME ALGEBRA CONSTRUCTIONS OVER RATIONAL FUNCTION FIELDS

## S. PUMPLÜN

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field of characteristic not 2 or 3. We give easy sufficient criteria for some first Tits constructions over the rational function field F(X) to yield division algebras.

#### INTRODUCTION

Let F(x) the field of rational functions over a field F of characteristic not 2 or 3. We obtain some easy to check sufficient criteria which help to construct examples of cubic Jordan division algebras over F(x) which arise as first Tits constructions out of separable cubic algebras.

In [G-R-SB], Gajivaradhan, Rema and Sri Bala gave some sufficient criteria for quaternion and octonion algebras over F(x) to be division algebras, with F of characteristic unequal to 2. Their methods of proof are analogous to the ones used here.

### 1. Preliminaries

**1.1.** Let F be a field of characteristic not 2 or 3 and  $\lambda \in F^{\times}$ . Let B be a separable associative unital algebra of degree 3 over F with norm  $N_{B/F}$  and trace  $T_{B/F}$ . We denote the first Tits construction employing B and  $\lambda$  by  $J(B, \lambda)$ . For the definition and general properties of  $J(B, \lambda)$ , the reader is referred to [P-R1], [McC] or [KMRT]. The norm of the Jordan algebra  $J(B, \lambda)$  is given by

$$N_{J(B,\lambda)}((b_1, b_2, b_3)) = N_{B/F}(b_1) + \lambda N_{B/F}(b_2) + \lambda^2 N_{B/F}(b_3) - \lambda T_{B/F}(b_1 b_2 b_3)$$

with  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B$ . It is well-known that  $J(B, \lambda)$  is a division algebra if and only if  $\lambda \notin N_{B/F}(B^{\times})$  if and only if  $N_{J(B,\lambda)}$  is anisotropic. Moreover,  $J(B,b) \cong J(B,c^3b)$  for all  $c \in F^{\times}$ .

An Albert algebra over F is an exceptional simple Jordan algebra of degree 3, i.e. an F-form of the Jordan algebra of 3-by-3 hermitian matrices with diagonal entries in F and off-diagonal entries in the split octonion algebra  $\operatorname{Zor}(F)$  (or details, see for instance [P-R1, 2], or [KMRT, p. 524]). Every Albert algebra over F can be obtained by a first or second Tits construction (cf. [P-R1] or [McC]).

Date: 11.10.2012.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17A35.

Key words and phrases. Jordan division algebras, Albert algebras, first Tits construction, rational function fields.

#### S. PUMPLÜN

For an iterated first Tits construction we write  $J(B, \mu, \lambda) = J(J(B, \mu), \lambda)$  or  $J(B, \mu, \lambda, \alpha) = J(J(J(B, \mu), \lambda), \alpha)$  with  $\mu, \lambda, \alpha \in F^{\times}$ .

**1.2. The set-up.** Let K = F(x) be the field of rational functions over F. A polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is said to be of the *n*th kind if  $f^{(i)}(0) = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ , but  $f^{(n)}(0) \neq 0$ . Every element in the group  $K^{\times}/K^{\times 3}$  is given by a polynomial of either the first, the second or the third kind.

Let B be a separable associative algebra of degree 3 over K = F(x). When looking at a first Tits construction  $J(B, \lambda(x))$  with  $\lambda(x) \in F(x)$ ,  $\lambda(x) = f(x)/g(x)$  with  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ , we can 'clear the denominator' and instead look at  $J(B, \lambda(x))$  for a suitable  $\lambda(x) \in F[x]$ : let  $\lambda = g(x)^3 f(x)/g(x) = g(x)^2 f(x) \in F[x]$  then  $J(B, \lambda(x)) \cong J(B, \lambda(x))$ .

So we only need to deal with the case J(B, f(x)), where  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Let  $f(x) = f_1(x)^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots f_r(x)^{\varepsilon_r}$  be the decomposition of  $f(x) \in F[x]$  into distinct irreducible factors  $f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x)$ . Since we know that two polynomials  $f(x), h(x) \in F[x]$  with  $f(x) = l(x)^3 h(x)$  for some  $l(x) \in F[x]$  yield isomorphic Jordan algebras  $J(B, f(x)) \cong J(B, h(x))$ , when looking at J(B, f(x)), we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f(x) = f_1(x)^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots f_r(x)^{\varepsilon_r}$$

with  $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, 2\}$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ .

Define

$$\alpha(x) \in F[x], \quad \alpha(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 + \dots + \alpha_t x^t,$$
$$\mu(x) \in F[x], \quad \mu(x) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 x + \mu_2 x^2 + \dots + \mu_r x^r,$$
$$\lambda(x) \in F[x], \quad \lambda(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_s x^s,$$

**Remark 1.** Let  $\alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x) \in F[x]$  be of the first kind. Gajivaradhan, Rema and Sri Bala [G-R-SB] proved two results for octonion algebras: they showed that if the octonion algebra  $\operatorname{Cay}(F, \alpha(0), \beta(0), \gamma(0))$  obtained by a repeated Cayley-Dickson doubling process out of F is a division algebra over a field F of characteristic not 2, then the octonion algebra  $\operatorname{Cay}(K, \alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x))$  is a division algebra over K. If  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  are of the first kind and  $\gamma(x)$  is of the second kind, and if the quaternion algebra ( $\alpha(0), \beta(0)$ )<sub>F</sub> is a division algebra over F then  $\operatorname{Cay}(K, \alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x))$  is a division algebra over K. They proved a similar result for quaternion algebras over K. Since we know that every composition algebra over the polynomial ring F[x] is defined over F [P, 6.8], we point out that for instance

$$\operatorname{Cay}(K, \alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x)) = \operatorname{Cay}(F[x], \alpha(x), \beta(x), \gamma(x)) \otimes F(x) \cong_{F[x]} \operatorname{Cay}(F, a, b, c) \otimes_F F(x)$$
for suitable  $a, b, c \in F^{\times}$ .

**2.** THE FIRST TITS CONSTRUCTION OVER F(x) USING POLYNOMIALS OF THE FIRST KIND Lemma 2. Let  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  with  $\alpha(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. If

$$E_0 = J(F, \alpha_0)$$

is a division algebra over F, then E is a division algebra over F(x).

3

Proof. Assume  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  is not a division algebra over F(x), then  $\alpha(x) \in F(x)^{\times 3}$ , which means  $\alpha(x) = f(x)^3/g(x)^3$  for suitable  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ . Since in this case  $J(F(x), \alpha(x)) \cong J(F(x), f(x)^3)$ , we may assume  $\alpha(x) = f(x)^3$  with  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . This implies that  $\alpha(x) = b^3 + \ldots$  for some  $b \in F^{\times}$ , i.e.  $\alpha_0 = b^3$  and therefore  $E_0 = J(F, \alpha(0)) = J(F, \alpha_0)$  is not a division algebra, either.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.** (i) Let  $E = E_0 \otimes_F K$  with  $E_0$  a separable cubic field extension over F. Let  $A = J(E, \lambda(x))$  with  $\lambda(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. If

$$A_0 = J(E_0, \lambda_0)$$

is a division algebra over F, then A is a division algebra over F(x). (ii) Let  $B = B_0 \otimes_F K$  where  $B_0$  is a central simple associative division algebra over F. Let  $J = J(B, \alpha(x))$  with  $\alpha(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. If

$$J_0 = J(B_0, \alpha_0)$$

is an Albert division algebra over F, then J is an Albert division algebra over F(x).

Proof. (i) Let 1, e, f be a basis of  $E_0$  over F. Suppose that  $A_0 = J(E_0, \lambda(0)) = J(E_0, \lambda_0)$  is a division algebra over F.  $A = J(E, \lambda(x))$  is a division algebra over F(x) if and only if  $N_{A/K}$  is an anisotropic cubic form, i.e. we have to show that there are only trivial  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$ . Suppose there are  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$ . By clearing denominators we may assume that  $h_i(x) \in F[x]$ ,

$$h_i = h_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} c_{i,j} x^j,$$

so that

$$0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \dots, h_9)) = N_{E/K}(h_1 + h_2 e + h_3 f) + \lambda N_{E/K}(h_4 + h_5 e + h_6 f) + \lambda^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f) - \lambda T_{E/K}((h_1 + h_2 e + h_3 f)(h_4 + h_5 e + h_6 f)(h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f)).$$

Comparing the constants (which amounts to plugging in 0 everywhere), this yields

$$\begin{split} 0 &= N_{A_0/F}((h_1(0),\ldots,h_9(0))) = N_{E_0/F}(c_{1,0}+c_{2,0}e+c_{3,0}f) + \lambda_0 N_{E_0/F}(c_{4,0}+c_{5,0}e+c_{6,0}f) \\ &+ \lambda_0^2 N_{E_0/F}(c_{7,0}+c_{8,0}e+c_{9,0}f) - \lambda_0 T_{E_0/F}((c_{1,0}+c_{2,0}e+c_{3,0}f)(c_{4,0}+c_{5,0}e+c_{6,0}f)(c_{7,0}+c_{8,0}e+c_{9,0}f)) \\ \text{Since } A_0 \text{ is division by hypothesis, this means all } c_{1,0},\ldots,c_{9,0} \text{ must be zero and so we have} \\ h_i &= x \tilde{h_i} \text{ for all } i \text{ and } N_{A_0/F}((h_1,\ldots,h_9)) = x^3 N_{A_0/F}(\widetilde{h_1},\ldots,\widetilde{h_9}). \text{ We now proceed by} \\ \text{induction and assume that all coefficients of the } h_i \text{'s up to the one of } x^n \text{ are zero. Then} \\ N_{A_0/F}((h_1,\ldots,h_9)) &= x^{3n} N_{A_0/F}((\widetilde{h_1},\ldots,\widetilde{h_9})) \text{ where now } h_i &= x^n \tilde{h_i} \text{ for all } i \text{ and hence} \\ 0 &= N_{A_0/F}((h_1,\ldots,h_9)) \text{ means } 0 &= N_{A_0/F}((\widetilde{h_1},\ldots,\widetilde{h_9})) \text{ Now compare the coefficients of} \\ \text{the } x^{n+1} \text{'s appearing in the equation. Then by the same argument we obtain that} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 0 &= N_{A_0/F}((c_{1,n+1},\ldots,c_{9,n+1})) = \\ N_{E_0/F}(c_{1,n+1}+c_{2,n+1}e+c_{3,n+1}f) + \lambda_0 N_{E_0/F}(c_{4,n+1}+c_{5,n+1}e+c_{6,n+1}f) \\ &+ \lambda_0^2 N_{E_0/F}(c_{7,n+1}+c_{8,0}e+c_{9,n+1}f) \end{split}$$

 $-\lambda_0 T_{E_0/F}((c_{1,0}+c_{2,n+1}e+c_{3,n+1}f)(c_{4,n+1}+c_{5,n+1}e+c_{6,n+1}f)(c_{7,n+1}+c_{8,n+1}e+c_{9,n+1}f))$ 

#### S. PUMPLÜN

which means all  $c_{1,n+1}, \ldots, c_{9,n+1}$  must be zero as well. By induction we thus show that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$  implies that  $h_1 = \cdots = h_9 = 0$ , hence that A is a division algebra over K.

(ii) By a well-known theorem of Wedderburn, every central simple algebra of degree 3 over F is cyclic. Suppose  $B_0 = (L, a)$  is a central simple division algebra of degree 3 over F, where  $L = F[x]/(x^3 - b) = F(z)$  is a cubic field extension of F. We give the argument for the special case that F contains a primitive cube root of unity  $\rho$ , because then the basis of the algebra is easy to write down (but the general case works analogously):  $B_0$  has F-basis  $\{l^i z^j | 0 \le i, j \le 2\}$  where

$$zl = lz\rho, \quad l^3 = a \in F^{\times}, \quad z^3 = b \in F^{\times}$$

[Pi, p. 299]. Suppose that  $J = J(B_0, \alpha(0)) = J(B_0, \alpha_0)$  is a division algebra over F. Use that

$$N_{J(B,\alpha(x))}((b_1, b_2, b_3)) = N_{B/K}(b_1) + \alpha(x)N_{B/K}(b_2) + \alpha(x)^2N_{B/K}(b_3) - \alpha(x)T_{B/F}(b_1b_2b_3)$$
  
 $J = J(B, \alpha(x))$  is a division algebra over  $F(x)$  if and only if  $N_{J/K}$  is an anisotropic cubic form, i.e. we have to show that there are only the trivial  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{J/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27}))$ . Suppose there are  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27}))$ . By clearing denominators we may assume there exist polynomials  $h_i(x) \in F[x]$ ,

$$h_i = h_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} c_{i,j} x^j,$$

such that

$$0 = N_{J/K}((h_1, \dots, h_{27})) = N_{B/K}(h_1 + zh_2 + z^2h_3 + l(h_4 + zh_5 + z^2h_6) + l^2(h_7 + zh_8 + z^2h_9)) + \alpha(x)N_{B/K}(h_{10} + \dots + l^2z^2h_{18}) + \alpha(x)^2N_{B/K}(h_{19} + \dots + l^2z^2h_{27}) - \alpha(x)T_{J/K}((h_1 + \dots + l^2z^2h_9)(h_{10} + \dots + l^2z^2h_{18})(h_{19} + \dots + l^2z^2h_{27})).$$

The proof now works analogously as in (ii): Comparing the constants, since  $A_0$  is division by hypothesis, all  $c_{1,0}, \ldots, c_{27,0}$  must be zero and so we have  $h_i = x\tilde{h}_i$  for all i and  $N_{A_0/F}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27})) = x^3 N_{A_0/F}(\tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_{27})$ . We now proceed by induction and assume that all coefficients of the  $h_i$ 's up to the one of  $x^n$  are zero. Then  $N_{A_0/F}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27})) = x^{3n} N_{A_0/F}((\tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_{27}))$  where now  $h_i = x^n \tilde{h}_i$  for all i and hence  $0 = N_{A_0/F}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27}))$  means  $0 = N_{A_0/F}((\tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_{27}))$  Now compare the coefficients of the  $x^{n+1}$ 's appearing in the equation. Then by the same argument we obtain that all  $c_{1,n+1}, \ldots, c_{27,n+1}$  must be zero as well. By induction we thus show that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_{27}))$  implies that  $h_1 = \cdots = h_9 = 0$ , hence that A is a division algebra over K.

## **Remark 4.** Alternatively, we can prove (i) and (ii) much quicker as follows:

(i) Identify  $E_0 \otimes F(x) = E_0(x) = E$ . Suppose  $A = J(E, \mu(x))$  is not a division algebra over F(x), then  $\mu(x) \in N_{E/K}(E^{\times})$ . This means  $\mu(x) = N_{E/F(x)}(e(x))$  for a suitable non-zero  $e(x) \in E_0(x)$ . Substituting x = 0, we get  $\mu(0) = N_{E_0/F}(e(0))$ , i.e.  $\mu(0) \in N_{E_0/F}(E_0^{\times})$ . Therefore  $A_0 = J(E_0, \mu(0)) = J(E_0, \mu_0)$  is not a division algebra over F.

(ii) Let  $B = B_0 \otimes_F K$  where  $B_0$  is a central simple associative division algebra over F. Let  $J = J(B, \alpha(x))$  with  $\alpha(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. Suppose  $A = J(B, \alpha(x))$  is not a division algebra over F(x), then  $\alpha(x) \in N_{B/K}(B^{\times})$ . This means  $\alpha(x) = N_{B/F(x)}(e(x))$  for a suitable  $e(x) \in B_0 \otimes F(x)$ . We get  $\alpha(0) = N_{B_0/F}(e(0))$ , i.e.  $\alpha(0) \in N_{B_0/F}(B_0^{\times})$ . Therefore  $A_0 = J(B_0, \alpha(0)) = J(B_0, \alpha_0)$  is not a division algebra over F.

We give the lengthy proof here as well to show the inductive nature of the argument.

**Theorem 5.** (i) Let  $A = J(F(x), \mu(x), \lambda(x))$  and  $\mu(x), \lambda(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. If

 $A_0 = J(F, \mu_0, \lambda_0)$ 

is a division algebra over F, then A is a division algebra over F(x). (ii) Let  $J = J(F(x), \mu(x), \lambda(x), \alpha(x))$  and  $\mu(x), \lambda(x), \alpha(x) \in F[x]$  of the first kind. If

 $J_0 = J(F, \mu_0, \lambda_0, \alpha_0)$ 

is a division algebra over F, then J is a division algebra over F(x).

Proof. For  $J = J(F(x), \mu(x))$ , by plugging in zero the term  $N_J(h_1(x), h_2(x), h_3(x))$  becomes  $N_{J(F,\mu(0))}(h_1(0), h_2(0), h_3(0))$ , i.e. the norm of  $J(F,\mu(0))$  (and for  $J = J(F(x), \mu(x), \lambda(x))$ ,  $N_J(h_1(x), \ldots, h_9(x))$  becomes  $N_{J(F,\mu(0),\lambda(0))}(h_1(0), \ldots, h_9(0))$ ). Hence the same induction method as in the proof of Theorem 3 can be applied, substituting  $N_J$  for  $N_E$  or  $N_B$  everywhere.

More generally, if  $\varphi$  is a form of degree n over F(x), we may assume without loss of generality that all its coefficients  $\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r_j}}(x)$  are polynomials in F[x]. If they are all of the first kind, the same inductive argument proves that  $\varphi$  is anisotropic, if the corresponding form  $\varphi_0$  over F we obtain from  $\varphi$  by putting  $\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r_j}}(0)$  instead of  $\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r_j}}(x)$  as coefficients everywhere, is anisotropic.

# **3.** The first Tits construction over F(x) using polynomials of the second or third kind

**Lemma 6.**  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  is a division algebra over F(x) for all  $\mu(x) \in F[x]$  of the second or third kind.

Proof. Suppose  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  is not a division algebra over F(x). Then  $\alpha(x) \in F(x)^{\times 3}$ which means  $\alpha(x) = f(x)^3/g(x)^3$  for suitable  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ . Since  $J(F(x), \alpha(x)) \cong J(F(x), f(x)^3)$  assume w.l.o.g. that  $\alpha(x) = f(x)^3$  with  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $f(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots$ 

Suppose  $\alpha(x)$  is of the second kind, i.e.  $\alpha(x) = x(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 x + ...) = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 ...$  with  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Comparing coefficients implies  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ , a contradiction to our assumption that  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(x) \notin F(x)^{\times 3}$  and  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  a division algebra over F(x) for every polynomial  $\alpha(x)$ .

Suppose  $\alpha(x)$  is of the third kind, i.e.  $\alpha(x) = x^2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 x + ...) = \alpha_2 x^2 + \alpha_3 x^3 ...$  with  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Comparing coefficients again implies  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ , a contradicting that  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ . Thus  $\alpha(x) \notin F(x)^{\times 3}$  and  $E = J(F(x), \alpha(x))$  a division algebra over F(x).

**Theorem 7.** Let  $E_0$  be a separable cubic field extension over F,  $E = E_0 \otimes_F F(x)$  defined over F and  $A = J(E, \lambda(x))$  with  $\lambda(x) \in F[x]$ .

(i) If  $\lambda(x)$  is of the second kind then A is a division algebra over F(x).

(ii) If  $\lambda(x)$  is of the third kind then A is a division algebra over F(x).

Proof.  $A = J(E, \mu(x))$  is a division algebra over F(x) if and only if  $N_{A/K}$  is an anisotropic cubic form, i.e. we have to show that there are only trivial  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$ . Suppose there are  $h_i(x) \in K$  such that  $0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$ . Clearing denominators we assume these  $h_i(x) \in F[x]$ ,

$$h_i = h_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} c_{i,j} x^j,$$

such that

$$0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \dots, h_9)) = N_{E/K}(h_1 + h_2 e + h_3 f) + \lambda(x)N_{E/K}((h_4 + h_5 e + h_6 f) + \lambda(x)^2 N_{E/K}((h_7, h_8, h_9)) - \lambda(x)T_{E/K}((h_1 + h_2 e + h_3 f) \cdot (h_4 + h_5 e + h_6 f) \cdot (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f),$$

with 1, e, f a basis of  $E_0$  over F.

(i) Let

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_s x^s = x(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x + \dots + \lambda_s x^{s-1}) = x\widetilde{\lambda}(x), \quad \lambda_1 \neq 0$$

be of the second kind. Plugging in 0 everywhere yields

$$0 = N_{E_0/F}(h_1(0) + h_2(0)e + h_3(0)f) = N_{E_0/F}(c_{1,0} + c_{2,0}e + c_{3,0}f)$$

Since  $E_0$  is division by hypothesis,  $c_{1,0} = c_{2,0} = c_{3,0} = 0$  and so we have  $h_i = x \tilde{h_i}$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and

$$0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \dots, h_9))$$
  
=  $x^3 N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f) + x\widetilde{\lambda}(x)N_{E/K}(h_4 + h_5e + h_6f) + x^2\widetilde{\lambda}^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)$   
 $-x^2\widetilde{\lambda}T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}\alpha + \widetilde{h_3}f)(h_4 + h_5\alpha + h_6\alpha^2)(h_7 + h_8\alpha + h_9f)).$ 

Cancel x:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= x^2 N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f) + \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K}(h_4 + h_5e + h_6\alpha^2) + x\widetilde{\lambda}^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9\alpha^2) \\ &- x\widetilde{\lambda}T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(h_4 + h_5e + h_6f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)). \end{split}$$

Put x = 0:

$$0 = \lambda_1 N_{E_0/F}(h_4(0) + h_5(0)e + h_6(0)f) = \lambda_1 N_{E_0/F}(c_{4,0} + c_{5,0}e + c_{6,0}f).$$

Hence also  $c_{4,0} = c_{5,0} = c_{6,0} = 0$  and  $h_i = x \tilde{h_i}$  for i = 4, 5, 6 and

$$0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \dots, h_9))$$
  
=  $x^3 N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}\alpha^2) + x^4 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K}(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f) + x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)$   
 $-x^3 \widetilde{\lambda} T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)).$ 

Cancel  $x^2$ :

$$0 = xN_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f) + x^2\widetilde{\lambda}(x)N_{E/K}(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f) + \widetilde{\lambda}^2N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f) \\ -x\widetilde{\lambda}T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)).$$

Put x = 0:

$$0 = \lambda_1^2 N_{E/K} (h_7(0) + h_8(0)e + h_9(0)f).$$

Hence also  $c_{7,0} = c_{8,0} = c_{9,0} = 0$  and  $h_i = x\tilde{h_i}$  for i = 7, 8, 9. An obvious induction now shows that we may conclude  $h_1 = \cdots = h_9 = 0$  this way. (ii) Let

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_s x^s = x^2 (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 x + \dots + \lambda_s x^{s-2}) = x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x), \quad \lambda_2 \neq 0$$

be of the third kind. Put x = 0, then

$$0 = N_{E_0/F}(h_1(0) + h_2(0)e + h_3(0)f) = N_{E_0/F}(c_{1,0} + c_{2,0}e + c_{3,0}f),$$

i.e.  $c_{1,0} = c_{2,0} = c_{3,0} = 0$  and  $h_i = x \tilde{h_i}$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Now

$$0 = N_{A/K}((h_1, \ldots, h_9))$$

$$= x^{3} N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_{1}}, \widetilde{h_{2}}, \widetilde{h_{3}}) + x^{2} \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K}(h_{4} + h_{5}e + h_{6}f) + x^{4} \widetilde{\lambda}(x)^{2} N_{E/K}(h_{7} + h_{8}e + h_{9}f) \\ - x^{3} \widetilde{\lambda}(x) T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_{1}} + \widetilde{h_{2}}e + \widetilde{h_{3}}f)(h_{4} + h_{5}e + h_{6}f)(h_{7} + h_{8}e + h_{9}f)).$$

Cancel  $x^2$ :

$$0 = xN_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1}, \widetilde{h_2}, \widetilde{h_3}) + \widetilde{\lambda}(x)N_{E/K}(h_4 + h_5e + h_6f) + x^2\widetilde{\lambda}(x)^2N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)$$
$$-x\widetilde{\lambda}(x)T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(h_4 + h_5e + h_6f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)).$$

Put x = 0:

$$0 = \lambda_2 N_{E_0/F}(h_4(0) + h_5(0)e + h_6(0)f) = \lambda_2 N_{E_0/F}(c_{4,0} + c_{5,0}e + c_{6,0}f)$$

Hence also  $c_{4,0} = c_{5,0} = c_{6,0} = 0$  and  $h_i = x \tilde{h_i}$  for i = 4, 5, 6 and

$$\begin{split} 0 &= x N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1}, \widetilde{h_2}, \widetilde{h_3}) + x^3 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K}(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f) + x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x)^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f) \\ &- x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)). \end{split}$$

Cancel x:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1},\widetilde{h_2},\widetilde{h_3}) + x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K}(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f) + x \widetilde{\lambda}(x)^2 N_{E/K}(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f) \\ &- x \widetilde{\lambda}(x) T_{E/K}((\widetilde{h_1} + \widetilde{h_2}e + \widetilde{h_3}f)(\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5}e + \widetilde{h_6}f)(h_7 + h_8e + h_9f)). \end{split}$$

Put x = 0:

$$0 = N_{E/F}(\widetilde{h_1}, \widetilde{h_2}, \widetilde{h_3}).$$

So here the proof differs slightly form the previous case: Hence also  $c_{1,1} = c_{2,1} = c_{3,1} = 0$ and we write  $\tilde{h}_i = x f_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Then

$$\begin{split} 0 &= x^3 N_{E/F} (f_1 + f_2 e + f_3 f) + x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K} (\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5} e + \widetilde{h_6} f) + x \widetilde{\lambda}(x)^2 N_{E/K} (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f) \\ &- x^2 \widetilde{\lambda}(x) T_{E/K} ((f_1 + f_2 e + f_3 f) (\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5} e + \widetilde{h_6} f) (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f)). \end{split}$$

Cancel x:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= x^2 N_{E/F} (f_1 + f_2 e + f_3 f) + x \widetilde{\lambda}(x) N_{E/K} (\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5} e + \widetilde{h_6} f) + \widetilde{\lambda}(x)^2 N_{E/K} (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f) \\ &- x \widetilde{\lambda}(x) T_{E/K} ((f_1 + f_2 e + f_3 f) (\widetilde{h_4} + \widetilde{h_5} e + \widetilde{h_6} f) (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f)). \end{split}$$

Put x = 0:

$$0 = \lambda_2^2 N_{E/K} (h_7 + h_8 e + h_9 f)$$

Hence  $c_{7,0} = c_{8,0} = c_{9,0} = 0$  and  $h_i = x h_i$  for i = 7, 8, 9. An obvious induction again shows that  $h_1 = \cdots = h_9 = 0$ .

#### S. PUMPLÜN

This can be generalized using the same method of proof to show:

**Theorem 8.** (i) Let  $A = J(F(x), \mu(x), \lambda(x))$  with  $\mu(x), \lambda(x) \in F[x]$ , where  $\mu(x)$  is of the first kind such that  $J(F, \mu_0)$  is a division algebra. If  $\lambda(x)$  is of the second or third kind then A is a division algebra over F(x).

(ii) Let  $J = J(F(x), \lambda(x), \mu(x), \alpha(x))$ , where  $\lambda(x), \mu(x)$  are of the first kind and  $J(F, \lambda_0, \mu_0)$  is a division algebra over F. If  $\alpha(x)$  is of the second or third kind then J is a division algebra over F(x).

In particular, the above conditions are necessary in case the scalars used are monomials: e.g., given  $J = J(F(x), \lambda(x), \mu(x), \alpha(x))$ , if  $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0, \mu(x) = \mu_0$  and  $\alpha(x) = \alpha_0$  are constants (i.e., monomials of the first kind),  $J = J(F(x), \lambda(x), \mu(x), \alpha(x)) = J(F, \lambda_0, \mu_0, \alpha_0) \otimes_F F(x)$ , so that J is division iff so is  $J(F, \lambda_0, \mu_0, \alpha_0)$ , and if  $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0, \mu(x) = \mu_0$  and  $\alpha(x) = \alpha_1 x$  or  $\alpha(x) = \alpha_2 x^2$  is of the second or third kind, J is division implies that so is  $J(F, \lambda_0, \mu_0)$ .

#### References

- [G-R-SB] Gajivaradhan, P., Rema, P. S., Sri Bala, S., Composition division algebras over a function field. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 33 (4) (2009), 727–731.
- [KMRT] Knus, M.A., Merkurjev, A., Rost, M., Tignol, J.-P., "The Book of Involutions", AMS Coll. Publications, Vol. 44 (1998).
- [McC] McCrimmon, K., "A Taste of Jordan Algebras". Universitext, Springer Verlag, New York 2004.
- [P] Petersson, H. P., Composition algebras over curves of genus zero. Trans. AMS 337 (1) (1993), 473 - 493.
- [P-R1] Petersson, H. P., Racine, M., An elementary approach to the Serre-Rost invariant of Albert algebras. Indag. Math., N.S., 7 (3)(1996), 343-365.
- [P-R2] Petersson, H. P., Racine, M., The Serre-Rost invariant of Albert algebras in characteristic three. Indag. Math., N.S., 8 (4)(1997), 543-548.
- [Pi] Pierce, R. S., "Associative Algebras". Springer-Verlag (Graduate Texts in Mathematics), 1982.
- [Pu1] Pumplün, S., Albert algebras over curves of genus zero and one. J. Algebra 320 (2008), 4178 4214.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{susanne.pumpluen@nottingham.ac.uk}$ 

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom